

Ch 5.5: Program Correctness

ICS 141: Discrete Mathematics for Computer Science I

Kyle Berney Department of ICS, University of Hawaii at Manoa

Kyle Berney – Ch 5.5: Program Correctness

Program Verification

- Experimental approach:
 - Test the algorithm with sample input
 - Check whether it produces the correct output
- For many problems, it is not feasible nor realistic to test all possible inputs to the algorithm
- Ex: Sorting *n* elements
 - n! total inputs
 - 2¹⁰ = 1024 elements
 - $2^{10}! \approx 5.42 \times 10^{2639}$ total input sequences
 - For comparison, the estimated number of atoms in the observable universe is 10⁸²

Proof of Correctness

- Theoretical approach:
 - Provide a proof of correctness
- 1. Iterative algorithms:
 - Loop Invariants
- 2. Recursive algorithms:
 - Mathematical Induction
 - A recursive algorithm may also include loops
 - Correctness of loops are proved using loop invariants
 - Overall recursive algorithm are proved using mathematical induction

Loop Invariants

- <u>Definition</u>: A loop invariant is a formal property that is (claimed to be) true at the start of each iteration of a loop.
- Must show three things about a loop invariant:
 - 1. Initialization: It is true prior to the first iteration
 - 2. <u>Maintenance</u>: If it is true before a given iteration, then it remains true before the next iteration
 - 3. <u>Termination</u>: When the loop terminates, the invariant gives us a useful property that helps show that the algorithm is correct

Loop Invariants

- Must show three things about a loop invariant:
 - 1. Initialization: It is true prior to the first iteration
 - 2. <u>Maintenance</u>: If it is true before a given iteration, then it remains true before the next iteration
 - 3. <u>Termination:</u> When the loop terminates, the invariant gives us a useful property that helps show that the algorithm is correct
- *Remark:* Notice the similarity to mathematical induction
 - Initialization \approx Base case
 - Maintenance \approx Inductive case
 - Unlike induction, loop invariants have a termination condition

INSERTIONSORT($A[1 \dots n]$) for j = 2 to n key = A[j]// Insert A[j] into the sorted sequence $A[1 \dots j - 1]$ i = j - 1while i > 0 and A[i] > key A[i + 1] = A[i] i = i - 1A[i + 1] = key

Loop Invariant:

 At the start of each iteration of the for loop, the subarray A[1...j - 1] consists of the elements originally in A[1...j - 1], but in sorted order.

Loop Invariant:

 At the start of each iteration of the for loop, the subarray A[1...j - 1] consists of the elements originally in A[1...j - 1], but in sorted order.

Initialization:

- Prior to the first iteration, j = 2.
- The subarray $A[1 \dots j 1] = A[1 \dots 1] = A[1]$ is a single element.
- Trivially, A[1] is sorted.

Loop Invariant:

 At the start of each iteration of the for loop, the subarray A[1...j-1] consists of the elements originally in A[1...j-1], but in sorted order.

Maintenance:

- Prior to the *j*-th iteration, we know that our loop invariant is true, i.e., the subarray A[1...*j* – 1] is sorted
- In the body of the for loop, the elements
 A[j 1], A[j 2], A[j 3], etc. are shifted by one position to the right, until it finds the correct position for A[j].
- Then, it inserts *A*[*j*] into this position.
- Therefore, at the start of the (*j* + 1)-th iteration, the subarray *A*[1...*j*] is sorted.

Loop Invariant:

- At the start of each iteration of the for loop, the subarray A[1...j-1] consists of the elements originally in A[1...j-1], but in sorted order.
- Remark: Formally, another loop invariant is needed for the while loop, inside of the body of the for loop
 - For simplicity of exposition, we presented an informal argument in the maintenance step

Loop Invariant:

 At the start of each iteration of the for loop, the subarray A[1...j - 1] consists of the elements originally in A[1...j - 1], but in sorted order.

Termination:

- The **for** loop terminates when j = n + 1.
- Therefore, $A[1 \dots j 1] = A[1 \dots n]$ consists of the elements originally in $A[1 \dots n]$, but in sorted order.

Correctness of Recursive Factorial

```
FACTORIAL(n)

if n == 0

return 1

return n \cdot FACTORIAL(n - 1)
```

Proposition: For all non-negative integers n, FACTORIAL(n) correctly returns the value of n!.

Correctness of Recursive Factorial

- Proposition: For all non-negative integers n, FACTORIAL(n) correctly returns the value of n!.
- <u>Proof:</u> Let *n* be an arbitrary non-negative integer. Inductive Hypothesis: Assume inductively that for all integers *k*, such that $0 \le k < n$, *P*(*k*) is true. In other words, FACTORIAL(*k*) correctly returns the value of *k*!. <u>Base Case:</u> Assume n = 0. We know that 0! = 1, hence, FACTORIAL(0) correctly returns 1.

Correctness of Recursive Factorial

Proposition: For all non-negative integers n, FACTORIAL(n) correctly returns the value of n!.

• <u>Proof:</u> <u>Inductive Case:</u> Assume n > 0. From our inductive hypothesis, we know that for $0 \le n - 1 < n$, FACTORIAL(n - 1) correctly returns the value of (n - 1)!. Therefore, FACTORIAL(n) correctly returns

$$n \cdot \text{FACTORIAL}(n-1) = n \cdot (n-1)!$$

= $n!$.