

Ch 4.3: Primes and Greatest Common Divisors

ICS 141: Discrete Mathematics for Computer Science I

KYLE BERNEY
DEPARTMENT OF ICS, UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII AT MANOA

Greatest Common Divisor

- Definition: If a and b are integers, not both zero, than an integer d is called the greatest common divisor of a and b if
 - 1. d > 0
 - 2. d is a common divisor of both a and b, and
 - 3. each integer *f* that is also a common divisor of both *a* and *b* is also a divisor of *d*
- Denoted GCD(a, b)

Greatest Common Divisor

- Definition: If a and b are integers, not both zero, than an integer d is called the greatest common divisor of a and b if
 - 1. d > 0
 - 2. d is a common divisor of both a and b, and
 - 3. each integer *f* that is also a common divisor of both *a* and *b* is also a divisor of *d*
- Denoted GCD(a, b)
- Ex: What is GCD(12, 8)?
 - The positive divisors of 12 are: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, and 12
 - The positive divisors of 8 are: 1, 2, 4, and 8
 - GCD(12, 8) = 4

Euclidean Algorithm

- Given two positive integers a and b, the GCD(a, b) can be found by successively dividing the larger integer by the smaller integer and replacing the larger integer with the remainder until it becomes 0
- Ex: Find the GCD(341, 527)

$$\bullet$$
 527 = 341 · 1 + 186

$$\bullet$$
 341 = 186 · 1 + 155

$$\bullet$$
 186 = 155 · 1 + 31

•
$$155 = 31 \cdot 5 + 0$$

$$GCD(341, 527) = 31$$

Euclidean Algorithm

- Given two positive integers a and b, the GCD(a, b) can be found by successively dividing the larger integer by the smaller integer and replacing the larger integer with the remainder until it becomes 0
- Correctness is based on the following lemma
- Lemma 1: Let a = bq + r, where a, b, q, and r are integers. Then GCD(a, b) = GCD(b, r)

Greatest Common Divisor

- Theorem 1: If a and b are integers, not both zero, then
 GCD(a, b) exists and is unique
- Proof: (Sketch)
 Use the Euclidean Algorithm to show that GCD(a, b) exists. It follow from the definition of GCD that if both d_1 and d_2 are greatest common divisors of a and b, then $d_1 \mid d_2$ and $d_2 \mid d_1$. By definition, there exists positive integers g and g such that $gg_1 = gg_2$ and $gg_2 = gg_3$. Hence, $gg_2 = gg_3$ and $gg_3 = gg_4$. Hence, $gg_3 = gg_3$ and $gg_4 = gg_4$.

Linear Combinations

An integral linear combination of the integers a and b is an expression of the form

$$ax + by$$

Linear Combinations

 An integral linear combination of the integers a and b is an expression of the form

$$ax + by$$

• Theorem 2: (Bezout's Theorem) If d = GCD(a, b), then there exists integers x and y such that

$$ax + by = d$$

Linear Combinations

 An integral linear combination of the integers a and b is an expression of the form

$$ax + by$$

• Theorem 2: (Bezout's Theorem) If d = GCD(a, b), then there exists integers x and y such that

$$ax + by = d$$

Corollary 1: There exists integers x and y satisfying the equation

$$ax + by = c$$

if and only if $d \mid c$, where d = GCD(a, b)

- In the Euclidean Algorithm, successive remainders are used
- In the Extended Euclidean Algorithm, successive quotients are additionally used
 - Let q_1, q_2, \ldots, q_k be the sequence of quotients
 - For i = 1, 2, ..., k, compute s_k and t_k where
 - $s_0 = 1$, $s_1 = 0$, and $s_i = s_{i-2} q_{i-1}s_{i-1}$
 - $t_0 = 0$, $t_1 = 1$, and $t_i = t_{i-2} q_{i-1}t_{i-1}$
 - s_k and t_k are the Bezout coefficients, satisfying

$$GCD(a, b) = as_k + bt_k$$

- Ex: Find the Bezout coefficients for 217 and 41
 - \bullet 217 = 41 · 5 + 12
 - $41 = 12 \cdot 3 + 5$
 - $12 = 5 \cdot 2 + 2$
 - $5 = 2 \cdot 2 + 1$
 - $2 = 1 \cdot 2 + 0$

- Ex: Find the Bezout coefficients for 217 and 41
 - \bullet 217 = 41 · 5 + 12
 - \bullet 41 = 12 · 3 + 5
 - $12 = 5 \cdot 2 + 2$
 - $5 = 2 \cdot 2 + 1$
 - $2 = 1 \cdot 2 + 0$
 - $q_1 = 5$, $q_2 = 3$, $q_3 = 2$, $q_4 = 2$, and $q_5 = 2$
 - $s_2 = s_0 q_1 s_1 = 1 5(0) = 1$
 - $s_3 = s_1 q_2 s_2 = 0 3(1) = -3$
 - $s_4 = s_2 q_3 s_3 = 1 2(-3) = 7$
 - $s_5 = s_3 q_4 s_4 = -3 2(7) = -17$

- Ex: Find the Bezout coefficients for 217 and 41
 - \bullet 217 = 41 · 5 + 12
 - \bullet 41 = 12 · 3 + 5
 - $12 = 5 \cdot 2 + 2$
 - $5 = 2 \cdot 2 + 1$
 - $2 = 1 \cdot 2 + 0$
 - $q_1 = 5$, $q_2 = 3$, $q_3 = 2$, $q_4 = 2$, and $q_5 = 2$
 - $t_2 = t_0 q_1 t_1 = 0 5(1) = -5$
 - $t_3 = t_1 q_2 t_2 = 1 3(-5) = 16$
 - $t_4 = t_2 q_3 t_3 = -5 2(16) = -37$
 - $t_5 = t_3 q_4 t_4 = 16 2(-37) = 90$

- Ex: Find the Bezout coefficients for 217 and 41
 - \bullet 217 = 41 · 5 + 12
 - \bullet 41 = 12 · 3 + 5
 - $12 = 5 \cdot 2 + 2$
 - $5 = 2 \cdot 2 + 1$
 - $2 = 1 \cdot 2 + 0$
 - $s_5 = -17$
 - $t_5 = 90$
 - GCD(217, 41) = 1 = 217(-17) + 41(90)

Primes

- Every integer greater than 1 is divisible by at least two integers (1 and itself)
- Definition: An integer p greater than 1 is called <u>prime</u> if its only positive divisors are 1 and p
- If a positive integer greater than 1 is not prime, then it is called composite

Ex:

- 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13 are prime
- 4, 6, 8, 9, 10 are composite

- Definition: We say that integers a and b are relatively prime if GCD(a, b) = 1
- Lemma 2: If a, b, and c are positive integers such that GCD(a, b) = 1 and $a \mid bc$, then $a \mid c$

- Definition: We say that integers a and b are relatively prime if GCD(a, b) = 1
- Lemma 2: If a, b, and c are positive integers such that GCD(a, b) = 1 and $a \mid bc$, then $a \mid c$
- Proof: Let a, b, and c be arbitrary positive integers such that GCD(a, b) = 1 and $a \mid bc$. Since GCD(a, b) = 1, it follows from Theorem 2 that there exists integers x and y such that

$$ax + by = 1$$

Multiplying both sides by c,

$$cax + cby = c$$

- Definition: We say that integers a and b are relatively prime if GCD(a, b) = 1
- Lemma 2: If a, b, and c are positive integers such that GCD(a, b) = 1 and $a \mid bc$, then $a \mid c$
- Proof: By definition of divisibility, it follows from $a \mid bc$, that there exists an integer k such that bc = ak. Hence,

$$cax + aky = c$$

$$a(cx + ky) = c.$$

By definition of divisibility, $a \mid c$.

- Definition: We say that integers a and b are relatively prime if GCD(a, b) = 1
- Corollary 2: If d = GCD(a, b), then a/d and b/d are relatively prime.

- Definition: We say that integers a and b are relatively prime if GCD(a, b) = 1
- Corollary 2: If d = GCD(a, b), then a/d and b/d are relatively prime.
- Proof: From Theorem 2, there exists integers x and y such that

ax + by = d

Then

$$\frac{a}{d}x + \frac{b}{d}y = 1$$

It follows from Corollary 1 that $GCD(a/d, b/d) \mid 1$, and therefore, GCD(a/d, b/d) = 1.

Application to Modular Arithmetic

Proposition 1: Let m be a positive integer and let a, b, and c be integers. If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ and GCD(c, m) = 1, then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$

Application to Modular Arithmetic

- Proposition 1: Let m be a positive integer and let a, b, and c be integers. If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ and gcd(c, m) = 1, then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$
- <u>Proof:</u> Let m be an arbitrary positive integer and let a, b, and c be arbitrary integers. From Theorem 3 in the lecture notes of Chapter 4.1, $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ implies that $m \mid ac bc = c(a b)$. Since GCD(c, m) = 1, it follows from Lemma 2 that $m \mid a b$. Therefore, from Theorem 3 in the lecture notes of Chapter 4.1, $m \mid a b$ implies that $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

Theorem 3: (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written as a product of primes. This is unique, up to the order of factors.

Theorem 3: (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written as a product of primes. This is unique, up to the order of factors.

Ex:

- $104 = 2^3 \cdot 13$
- $105 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
- $308 = 2^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$

Theorem 3: (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written as a product of primes. This is unique, up to the order of factors.

Ex:

- $104 = 2^3 \cdot 13$
- $105 = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$
- $308 = 2^2 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$
- To prove this, we need the following lemma
- Lemma 3: (Euclid's Lemma) Let $a_1 a_2 ... a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p be a prime. If $p \mid a_1 a_2 ... a_n$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some i = 1, 2, ..., n

Euclid's Lemma

• Lemma 3: (Euclid's Lemma) Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p be a prime. If $p \mid a_1 a_2 \ldots a_n$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$

Euclid's Lemma

- Lemma 3: (Euclid's Lemma) Let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p be a prime. If $p \mid a_1 a_2 ... a_n$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some i = 1, 2, ..., n
- <u>Proof:</u> Let n and a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n be an arbitrary positive integers and p be an arbitrary prime.

 Inductive Hypothesis: Assume inductively that for all integers k, such that 0 < k < n, P(k) is true. In other words, If $p \mid a_1 a_2 \ldots a_k$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some $i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$ Base Case: Assume n = 1.

 Trivially, $p \mid a_1$ implies that $p \mid a_i$ for i = 1.

Euclid's Lemma

■ Lemma 3: (Euclid's Lemma) Let $a_1, a_2, ..., a_n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p be a prime. If $p \mid a_1 a_2 ... a_n$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some i = 1, 2, ..., n

Proof:

Inductive Case: Assume n > 1.

$$p \mid a_1 a_2 \dots a_n = (a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}) a_n$$

If $p \mid a_n$, then we are done. Otherwise, $p \nmid a_n$ and $GCD(p, a_n) = 1$. It follows from Lemma 2 that $p \mid a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1}$. And from our inductive hypothesis, since 0 < n-1 < n, we know that $p \mid a_i$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$.

Theorem 3: (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written as a product of primes. This is unique, up to the order of factors.

- Theorem 3: (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written as a product of primes. This is unique, up to the order of factors.
- Proof: Let n be an arbitrary positive integer greater than 1.
 We will first show that n can be written as a product of primes.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume inductively that for all integers k, such that 1 < k < n, P(k) is true. In other words, k can be written as a product of primes.

Base Case: Assume n = 2.

Trivially, 2 is prime and can be written as itself.

Theorem 3: (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written as a product of primes. This is unique, up to the order of factors.

Proof:

Inductive Case: Assume n > 2.

If n is prime, then trivially n can written as itself.

Otherwise, n is composite and n = ab for some integers a and b such that 1 < a < n and 1 < b < n.

Theorem 3: (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written as a product of primes. This is unique, up to the order of factors.

Proof:

Inductive Case: Assume n > 1.

From our inductive hypothesis, since 1 < a < n and 1 < b < n, a and b can both be written as a product of primes. Let $a = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r$ and $b = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$ for some positive integers r and s and primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_r and q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s . Then

$$n = ab = (p_1 p_2 \dots p_r)(q_1 q_2 \dots q_s)$$

is a product of primes.

- Theorem 3: (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written as a product of primes. This is unique, up to the order of factors.
- Proof: Next, we will show that the factorization is unique. Assume for the sake of contradiction that there exists a positive integer that does not have a unique factorization of primes. From the Well-Ordering Principle, there exists a least element n that satisfies this assumption. For some positive integers r and s, let $p_1p_2 \ldots p_r$ and $q_1q_2 \ldots q_s$ be some primes, such that

$$n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$$

Theorem 3: (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic) Every positive integer greater than 1 can be written as a product of primes. This is unique, up to the order of factors.

Proof:

By definition of divisibility, $p_1 \mid q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$. It follows from Lemma 3 that $p_1 \mid q_i$ for some $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$. Without loss of generality, assume that $p_1 \mid q_1$. Since p_1 and q_1 are both prime, it must be that $p_1 = q_1$. Hence, we can cancel them out

$$p_2 \dots p_r = q_2 \dots q_s$$

We now have two distinct prime factorizations of some integer strictly smaller than n, a contradiction since we assumed that n was the least integer that does not have a unique factorization of primes.

Trial Division

• Theorem 4: If n is a composite integer, then n has a prime divisor less than or equal to \sqrt{n}

Trial Division

- Theorem 4: If n is a composite integer, then n has a prime divisor less than or equal to \sqrt{n}
- Proof: Let n be an arbitrary composite integer. By defintion of a composite integer, n has some factor a such that 1 < a < n. By definition of a factor,</p>

$$n = ab$$

where *b* is a positive integer greater than 1. We will first show that $a \le \sqrt{n}$ or $b \le \sqrt{n}$.

• Theorem 4: If n is a composite integer, then n has a prime divisor less than or equal to \sqrt{n}

Proof:

Assume for the sake of contradiction that $a > \sqrt{n}$ and $b > \sqrt{n}$. Then,

$$ab > \sqrt{n} \cdot \sqrt{n} = n$$

A contradiction, since n = ab. Thus, $a \le \sqrt{n}$ or $b \le \sqrt{n}$.

• Theorem 4: If n is a composite integer, then n has a prime divisor less than or equal to \sqrt{n}

Proof:

Without loss of generality, assume that $a \le \sqrt{n}$. If a is prime, then we are done. Otherwise, using Theroem 3, a can be written as a product of primes, and consequently, n has a prime divisor less than or equal to \sqrt{n} .

- Theorem 4: If n is a composite integer, then n has a prime divisor less than or equal to \sqrt{n}
- It follows from Theorem 4 that an integer n is prime if it is not divisible by any prime less than or equal to \sqrt{n}
- Leads to a brute-force algorithm, known as trial division
 - Divide *n* by all primes not exceeding \sqrt{n}
 - n is prime if it is not divisible by any of these primes (and composite otherwise)

- Ex: Is 101 prime?
 - $\sqrt{101} \approx 10.05$
 - Primes less than or equal to $\sqrt{101}$ are: 2, 3, 5, and 7
 - $2 \nmid 101$ since 101/2 = 50.5
 - $3 \nmid 101$ since $101/3 \approx 33.3$
 - $5 \nmid 101$ since 101/5 = 20.2
 - $7 \nmid 101$ since $101/7 \approx 14.4$
 - Therefore, 101 is prime

Finding the Prime Factorization

- From Theorem 4, n has a prime factor less than or equal to \sqrt{n} .
- 1. Staring from the smallest prime 2, find whether n has a prime factor $\leq \sqrt{n}$.
- 2. If a prime factor p is found, then continue factoring n/p
- 3. Otherwise, *n* is prime and its factorization is itself

Finding the Prime Factorization

- 1. Staring from the smallest prime 2, find whether n has a prime factor $\leq \sqrt{n}$.
- 2. If a prime factor p is found, then continue factoring n/p
- 3. Otherwise, *n* is prime and its factorization is itself
 - Ex: Find prime factorization of 7007
 - \bullet 7 | 7007 and 7007/7 = 1001
 - $7 \mid 1001 \text{ and } 1001/7 = 143$
 - 11 | 143 and 143/11 = 13
 - 13 is prime
 - Therefore, $7007 = 7 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13$

Applications of Factoring and Primes

- Factoring and primality testing is important to cryptography
 - RSA encryption is based on the fact that multiplying is much easier than factoring
- Currently, there is no polynomial-time algorithm for factoring integers

Applications of Factoring and Primes

- The greatest common divisor and least common multiple can be found using prime factorizations
- Definition: The least common multiple of the positive integers a and b is the smallest positive integer that is divisible by both a and b
- Denoted LCM(a, b)

Applications of Factoring and Primes

- The greatest common divisor and least common multiple can be found using prime factorizations
- Let $a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \dots p_n^{a_n}$ and $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \dots p_n^{b_n}$
 - Each exponent is a non-negative integer
 - All primes occurring in the prime factorization of either a or b are included in both factorizations (with a 0 exponent, if necessary)

GCD
$$(a, b) = p_1^{MIN(a_1, b_1)} p_2^{MIN(a_2, b_2)} \dots p_n^{MIN(a_n, b_n)}$$

LCM $(a, b) = p_1^{MAX(a_1, b_1)} p_2^{MAX(a_2, b_2)} \dots p_n^{MAX(a_n, b_n)}$

Euclid's Theorem

 Theorem 5: (Euclid's Theorem) There are infinitely many primes

Euclid's Theorem

- Theorem 5: (Euclid's Theorem) There are infinitely many primes
- <u>Proof:</u> Consider any arbitrary finite list of prime numbers $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n$. We will show that there exists at least one additional prime number not included in this list. Let $P = p_1 p_2 ... p_n$ and let q = P + 1. If q is prime, then we have found an additional prime not in the list.

Euclid's Theorem

 Theorem 5: (Euclid's Theorem) There are infinitely many primes

Proof:

Otherwise, q is composite and there exists some prime factor p such that $p \mid q$. If p is on our list of primes, then $p \mid P$. It follows from Theorem 1 (statement 1.) in the lecture notes of Chapter 4.1, that if $p \mid q$ and $p \mid P$ then $p \mid q - P = 1$. Since no prime numbers divides 1, p cannot be on our list of primes. Therefore, at least one more prime number exists that is not in the list.

Prime Number Theorem

- Let $\pi(x)$ be the number of prime numbers less than x
- Theorem 6: (Prime Number Theorem) The ratio of $\pi(x)$ and $x/\ln x$ approaches 1 as x grows without bounds.

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\pi(x)}{x/\ln x}=1$$

• It follows that a "good" approximation of $\pi(x)$ is

$$\pi(x) \approx \frac{x}{\ln x}$$

 "Good" approximation means that the relative error of the approximation approaches 0 as x increases without bound