Mesoporous carbon nanomaterials induced pulmonary surfactant inhibition, cytotoxicity, inflammation and lung fibrosis
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ABSTRACT

Environmental exposure and health risk upon engineered nanomaterials are increasingly concerned. The family of mesoporous carbon nanomaterials (MCNs) is a rising star in nanotechnology for multidisciplinary research with versatile applications in electronics, energy and gas storage, and biomedicine. Meanwhile, there is mounting concern on their environmental health risks due to the growing production and usage of MCNs. The lung is the primary site for particle invasion under environmental exposure to nanomaterials. Here, we studied the comprehensive toxicological profile of MCNs in the lung under the scenario of moderate environmental exposure. It was found that at a low concentration of 10 μg/mL MCNs induced biophysical inhibition of natural pulmonary surfactant. Moreover, MCNs at similar concentrations reduced viability of J774A.1 macrophages and lung epithelial A549 cells. Incubating with nature pulmonary surfactant effectively reduced the cytotoxicity of MCNs. Regarding the pro-inflammatory responses, MCNs activated macrophages in vitro, and stimulated lung inflammation in mice after inhalation exposure, associated with lung fibrosis. Moreover, we found that the size of MCNs played a significant role in regulating cytotoxicity and pro-inflammatory potential of this nanomaterial. In general, larger MCNs induced more pronounced cytotoxic and pro-inflammatory effects than their smaller counterparts. Our results provided valuable information on the toxicological profile and environmental health risks of MCNs, and suggested that fine-tuning the size of MCNs could be a practical precautionary design strategy to increase safety and biocompatibility of this nanomaterial.
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Introduction

Mesoporous carbon nanomaterials (MCNs) possess desirable physicochemical properties, including chemical stability, high electric and thermal conductivity and strong optical absorption feature (Xu et al., 2014). Moreover, MCNs possess a well-organized pore network, with the pore size usually ranging from 1.5 to 10 nm (Xu et al., 2014). This intricate porosity generates a high surface area and high pore volume, which endow this material with enhanced capability in storing energy and chemotherapeutic drugs (Kim et al., 2008; Vallet-Regí et al., 2007). Owing to these pronounced physicochemical properties, MCNs have been squeezed into the cutting edge of nanotechnology. Studies have revealed promising applications of MCNs in a large array of biomedical branches, such as cancer nanotheranostics and biosensing. The development of MCN-centered biomedicine requires in-depth understanding about its biocompatibility, biosafety and potential health risks. Compared to other carbon nanomaterials, the current understanding on the biocompatibility and health effects of MCNs is rather limited. Thus, sufficient insights into its toxicity profile become a prerequisite for further development of MCN-based applications.

In general, the respiratory system is most susceptible to environmental exposure to airborne nanomaterials. Although relatively large particles can be cleared by the mucociliary escalator in airway, nanomaterials such as MCNs may penetrate deeply into the lung. The entire air-water interface of the lung is coated with an adsorbed pulmonary surfactant film (Zuo et al., 2008). The pulmonary surfactant is composed of approximately 90% phospholipids and 10% surfactant-associated proteins by weight (López-Rodríguez and Pérez-Gil, 2014). The major physiological function of the pulmonary surfactant is to act as the first-line host defense against inhaled particles and pathogens, and to reduce the alveolar surface tension against lung collapse. Deficiency or dysfunction of the pulmonary surfactant leads to physiological function of the pulmonary surfactant is to act as the first-line host defense against inhaled particles and pathogens, and to reduce the alveolar surface tension against lung collapse. Deficiency or dysfunction of the pulmonary surfactant leads to respiratory distress syndrome and acute lung injury (Lewis and Veldhuizen, 2003).

The pulmonary surfactant film functions as the initial biological barrier against inhaled particles (Fan et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2013). Consequently, interacting with the inhaled particles may adversely affect the biological function of the pulmonary surfactant film. Accumulating evidence showed that various engineered nanomaterials inhibited the biological function of the pulmonary surfactant, i.e., abolished its ability of reducing surface tension to near-zero values (Beck-Broichsitter et al., 2011; Fan et al., 2011; Sachan et al., 2012). It was found that the extent of surfactant inhibition caused by the inhaled nanomaterials largely depended on their physicochemical properties, such as the chemical, size, shape, surface area, hydrophobicity, and surface charge of the nanomaterials (Beck-Broichsitter et al., 2011; Fan et al., 2011; Sachan et al., 2012). After interaction and translocation across the pulmonary surfactant film, inhaled nanomaterials are in direct contact with the alveolar epithelium cells and macrophages, posing detrimental effects on cells and even causing inevitable cell death (Xia et al., 2016). Meanwhile, some invaded particles can be phagocytosed by resident macrophages (Xia et al., 2016). Therefore, macrophages form a group of important sentinels to sense and engulf invading particles (Wynn et al., 2013). Macrophages are also activated through sensing molecules to enhance pro-inflammatory responses by secreting inflammatory cytokines and recruiting additional inflammatory leukocytes (Sandberg et al., 2012).

In this study, we systematically evaluated the biocompatibility and potential toxicity associated with MCNs of various sizes. We first evaluated the potential adverse biophysical effect of MCNs on natural pulmonary surfactant. Then, we studied the toxic effects of MCNs through investigating cell viability, cellular uptake, oxidative stress, pro-inflammatory responses in vitro and in vivo, and lung fibrosis in mice. We found that MCNs caused pulmonary surfactant inhibition and induced significant cytotoxicity to macrophages. This study opened a new avenue to understand the adverse biological effects and environmental health risks of MCNs.

1. Materials and methods

1.1. Synthesis and characterization of MCNs

Zinc nitrate hexahydrate (Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O), 2-methylimidazolade, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS), and PEG-Vitamin E (PEG-VE) were purchased from Sigma (USA). All reagents were in the analytical grade and used without further purification. In brief, Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O (3 amounts at 16, 24 and 32 mmol were individually used for different sizes) was dissolved in 225, 300, and 450 mL methanol, respectively, and 2-methylimidazolade (67.5, 101.25 and 135 mmol) was thereafter added into methanol accordingly. Then, two methanol solutions were mixed, and stirred for 2 hr at 25°C. For the intermediate sized MCNs (I-MCNs), 225 mL methanol solution containing 16 mmol Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 67.5 mmol 2-methylimidazolade were individually added into the above solution. For the largest sized MCNs (L-MCNs), 150 mL methanol solution containing 8 mmol Zn(NO$_3$)$_2$·6H$_2$O and 33.75 mmol 2-methylimidazolade were accordingly added into the above solution. For the smallest sized MCNs (S-MCNs), no solution was added. Finally, imidazolate framework (ZIF-8), a carbon precursor, was obtained through centrifugation and washing. Next, the carbon precursors were dispersed in 240 mL methanol (pH 11, adjusted by NaOH), and the CTAB solution (0.8 mmol/L) was added. TEOS (1.2 mL) was then added dropwise into the above mixed solution, and the resulting solution was stirred for 0.5 hr. The carbon precursor@mSiO$_2$ was separated by centrifugation, and washed with ethanol. Afterwards, the carbon precursor@mSiO$_2$ was pyrolyzed for 2 hr under N$_2$ atmosphere at 800°C, and was cooled naturally to room temperature. The samples were added into 4 mol/L NaOH solution to remove the mSiO$_2$ shell. The obtained samples were centrifuged and washed with water until the pH of supernatant reached 7.0. Finally, all samples were modified by PEG-VE (1 kD). The morphology and size of MCNs were characterized by H-7500 electron microscope (TEM) and SU8020 scanning electron microscope (SEM). The zeta potential and hydrodynamic diameter were measured using dynamic light scattering (DLS, Malvern, UK). In addition, carbon black (CB), purchased from Macklin, was used a reference carbonaceous material in the current study.
1.2. Pulmonary surfactant

Infasurf (calfactant) was a gift from ONY, Inc. (Amherst, NY, USA). It was a modified natural surfactant prepared from lung lavage of newborn calves by centrifugation and organic solvent extraction. Infasurf contained all hydrophobic components of the bovine natural surfactant (Zhang et al., 2011). Hydrophilic surfactant proteins (SP-A and SP-D), however, were removed during the extraction process. Infasurf was stored frozen in sterilized vials with an initial concentration of 35 mg of total phospholipids per milliliter. For all trials, Infasurf was diluted to a phospholipid concentration of 1 mg/mL with a saline buffer of 0.9% NaCl, 1.5 mmol/L CaCl₂, and 2.5 mmol/L HEPES, adjusted to pH 7.0. The saline buffer was made with Milli-Q ultrapure water (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) with a resistivity greater than 18 MΩ/cm at room temperature.

1.3. Constrained drop surfactometry

Surfactant inhibition under physiologically relevant conditions was conducted with constrained drop surfactometry (CDS, BioSurface Instruments, HI, USA). CDS was a droplet-based surface tensiometry technique, newly developed for in vitro assessing biophysical properties of pulmonary surfactant (Valle et al., 2015). CDS used the air–water interface of a sessile drop (~3 mm), constrained on a carefully machined drop pedestal with a sharp knife-edge, to accommodate the adsorbed surfactant film. Hence, the CDS required only a minute sample size of less than 20 µL for assessing biophysical properties of the pulmonary surfactant. Also owing to system miniaturization, the CDS permitted a precise control of physiological conditions using an environmental control chamber. To simulate breathing, the adsorbed surfactant film could be compressed and expanded at a physiologically relevant rate and compression ratio. The surface tension and surface area were determined photographically from the shape of the droplet using axisymmetric drop shape analysis (ADSA) (Yu et al., 2016).

Specifically, Infasurf was diluted to a concentration of 1 mg/mL and mixed with MCNs to a final particle concentration of 10 μg/mL, i.e., 1% by weight of total phospholipids in Infasurf. This MCNs concentration was selected corresponding to the lower end of MCNs concentrations tested in cytotoxicity assays, with more relevance to environmental exposure (Xia et al., 2016). Mixtures of MCNs and Infasurf were incubated at 37°C for 1 hr prior to the biophysical assay. A droplet (~16 µL) of the MCN-Infasurf mixture was dispensed onto the CDS drop pedestal. After drop formation, the surface tension was recorded and found to quickly decrease to an equilibrium value of approximately 22 mN/m, indicating adsorption of surfactant films at the air–water interface. Once adsorption was completed, the surfactant film was compressed and expanded at a rate of 3 sec per cycle with a compression ratio controlled at no more than 25% of the initial surface area to simulate normal tidal breathing (Bachofen et al., 1987). To obtain the absolute minimum surface tension of the surfactant film, overcompression at 30% and 40% compression ratios was also tested. At least ten continuous compression-expansion cycles were studied for each droplet. All simulations were conducted under well-controlled physiological conditions (37°C and 100% relative humidity) for at least 3 times. Surface activity was quantified with the minimum surface tension (γmin) at the end of compression, and the average isothermal film compressibility (κ), \( \kappa = \frac{1}{A} \left( \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial \ln A} \right) \) (where, A is the surface area, γ is the surface tension, T is the temperature) during both the compression and expansion processes.

1.4. Cell culture

Mouse monocyte/macrophage cell line J774A.1 and human adenocarcinomic alveolar basal epithelial cell line A549 were purchased from the Shanghai Cell Bank of Type Culture Collection of Chinese Academy of Sciences. Cells were routinely cultured in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS, Gibco, MA, USA) and 100 U/mL penicillin/streptomycin (Gibco, MA, USA) at 37°C with 5% CO₂.

1.5. Cell viability assay

To assess the cell viability post MCN treatment, cells were first seeded at a density of 1 × 10⁴ per well in 96-well plates (Corning Inc., NY, USA) at 37°C overnight. Further, cells were exposed to MCNs at various concentrations for 24 hr. Thereafter, cell viability was examined with a commercial CCK8 cell proliferation and cytotoxicity assay kit following the instructions from the manufacturer (Dojindo, Japan).

1.6. Cellular reactive oxygen species (ROS) assay

For the measurement of cellular ROS production, cells were first pre-incubated with 10 μmol/L dichlorofluorescein-diacetate (DCF-DA, Sigma, MO, USA) for 30 min and were then treated with MCNs at various concentrations. After treatment at 1 and 6 hr, DCF fluorescence was recorded by flow cytometry (FACS), as described previously (Qu et al., 2013).

1.7. Lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) release assay

Plasma membrane permeability was determined by the extracellular LDH leakage with a commercial kit from Promega (WI, USA). Briefly, after cellular treatment with MCNs at various concentrations for 24 hr, culture medium was collected and centrifuged at 12,000 ×g, and the supernatants were subjected to the measurement of LDH release following the manufacturer’s instruction.

1.8. Intracellular characterization of MCNs by TEM

To characterize intracellular MCNs, TEM analysis was performed. In brief, J774A.1 cells were gently washed with PBS after MCN treatment, and were then fixed with 2.5% glutaraldehyde. The fixed cells were afterwards embedded with epoxy resin. Ultrathin cell specimens (70 nm) were prepared and placed on grids, and were stained with 1% lead citrate and 0.5% uranyl acetate, followed by examination using a high-resolution JEOL JEM 2010F TEM (Hitachi Scientific Instruments, Japan).

1.9. RT-qPCR analysis

Total cellular RNAs were isolated from cells post treatment using Trizol reagent following the manufacturer’s instruction.
staining and immunohistochemical staining

Independent t-test and one-way ANOVA test were used to analyze experimental data. Data were shown in mean ± standard derivation. Statistical significance was determined with P value less than 0.05 (namely p < 0.05) in the current study.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Characterization of MCNs

We prepared the MCNs through carbonization of carbon precursor, protected by mesoporous silica shell. The mSiO2 shell coating on the surface carbon precursor effectively prevented aggregation of MCNs during high temperature pyrolysis. As shown in Fig. 1a, the SEM images revealed that monodisperse MCNs were obtained. The size distribution analysis on the basis of SEM data showed that the average diameter was 93, 111 and 144 nm for the smallest sized MCNs (S-MCNs), the intermediate sized MCNs (I-MCNs) and the largest sized MCNs (L-MCNs), respectively (Fig. 1a, p < 0.05). The difference in diameter for MCN samples was also confirmed by TEM analysis (Fig. 1b). Furthermore, DLS analysis manifested a similar size increase from S-MCNs to I-MCNs and L-MCNs in water and culture medium with 10% FBS (Fig. 1c, p < 0.05). Moreover, all sizes in culture medium with 10% FBS became slightly smaller than those in water, indicating that MCN particles were better dispersed in culture medium with the aid of proteins in FBS (Allouni et al., 2009; Maiorano et al., 2010). The zeta-potential data showed that all MCN samples were negatively charged (Fig. 1d). Collectively, these data demonstrated that we have successfully prepared MCN samples with different sizes.

2.2. MCNs induced surfactant inhibition

Fig. 2 compared typical compression-expression cycles of pure Infasurf with the addition of 1% by weight MCNs at 3 different sizes, i.e., S-MCNs, I-MCNs and L-MCNs. Reproducibility of these cycles can be found in Fig. S1. Also shown in Fig. 2 was the result of Infasurf mixed with 1% by weight carbon black (CB) used as a reference carbonaceous material. Experimental conditions used to obtain these cycles fully mimicked the physiological conditions, especially by controlling the area reduction during film compression (i.e., the so-called compression ratio) to no more than 25% (Bachofen et al., 1987). It can be seen that the addition of 1% by weight MCNs at 3 different sizes all increased the minimum surface tension at the end of compression. The MCNs also increased the area enclosed in the hysteresis loop between compression and expansion curves. All these phenomena indicate surfactant inhibition (Schürch et al., 1992, 1998). The degree of surfactant inhibition caused by the MCNs was comparable to that of the CB at the same concentration.

To quantify the degree of surfactant inhibition caused by MCNs of 3 different sizes, Fig. 3 showed the statistical analyses of the isothermal film compressibility (\(\kappa\)) during the film compression and expansion processes of the dynamic cycling demonstrated in Fig. 2. Film compressibility measures the “hardness” of a two-dimensional film. A low \(\kappa\) indicates a “hard” film, while a high \(\kappa\) indicates a “soft” film. A good pulmonary surfactant film should have a “soft-yet-strong” attribute (Zuo and Possmayer, 2007; Zuo et al., 2008). Upon film compression during exhalation, the surfactant film should have a low \(\kappa\), thus decreasing alveolar
surface tension to low values with limited area reduction; while upon film expansion during inhalation, the surfactant film should have a high $\kappa$, thus only increasing $\gamma$ to a limited value. Therefore, $\kappa$ is commonly used to evaluate surfactant inhibition (Valle et al., 2014, 2015).

As shown in Fig. 3, all 3 different sized MCNs, at a very low concentration of 10 $\mu$g/mL, increased $\kappa$ of the Infasurf film during compression ($\kappa_{\text{comp}}$) and decreased $\kappa$ during expansion ($\kappa_{\text{exp}}$), indicating surfactant inhibition. However, no statistically significant differences were found in the inhibition potential between 3 different sized MCNs. Again, the degree of surfactant inhibition caused by the 3 different sized MCNs was found to be comparable to the CB ($p > 0.05$).

The minimum surface tension ($\gamma_{\text{min}}$) is another commonly used parameter to evaluate surfactant inhibition. However, $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ is also a function of the film compression ratio (CR). The higher the CR, the lower the $\gamma_{\text{min}}$. Hence, in addition to the physiologically relevant 25% compression, we also conducted dynamic cycling experiments with supraphysiological levels of film compression, i.e., at 30% and 40% CRs. (Representative results are shown in Fig. S1.) As shown in Fig. 4, with the physiologically relevant 25% CR, the $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ of pure Infasurf
reached 3.5 mN/m. However, after exposure to 1% by weight MCNs of 3 different sizes, the $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ increased above 7.1 mN/m, more than double that of the pure Infasurf. Increasing the CR from 25% to 40% further decreased the $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ of pure Infasurf from 3.5 to 1.2 mN/m. Increasing the CR also reduced the $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ of Infasurf mixed with MCNs. However, $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ of the mixtures was still higher than that of the pure Infasurf.

It should be noted that the $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ at 40% CR corresponded to the collapse surface tension of the surfactant film, indicated by a horizontal plateau at the end of compression (Appendix A Fig. S1). The collapse surface tension represented the absolute $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ of the surfactant film because further increasing CR did not reduce the $\gamma_{\text{min}}$. Hence, the $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ at 40% CR was indicative to the inhibition potential of the exposed nanomaterials. It can be seen that exposure to MCNs of 3 different sizes all increased the $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ ($p < 0.05$), revealing significant surfactant inhibition. However, no statistically significant differences were found in the inhibition potential among 3 MCN sizes tested here ($p > 0.05$).

It is worth noting that upon 40% CR, the CB also increased the $\gamma_{\text{min}}$ of Infasurf from ~1 mN/m to close to 4 mN/m, manifesting surfactant inhibition. Our previous study showed that airborne multiwalled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs) and graphene nanoplatelets (GNPs) at aerosol concentrations above a few tens $\mu$g/m$^3$ were able to significantly inactivate the biophysical function of Infasurf (Valle et al., 2015). Taking together, our results of MCNs, CB, MWCNTs and GNPs consistently demonstrated the inhibition potential of carbonaceous nanomaterials on the natural pulmonary surfactant. Such an adverse biophysical effect appears to be nonspecific, and is likely related to the strong hydrophobicity and porous nature of the carbonaceous nanomaterials (Valle et al., 2015).

2.3. L-MCNs induced more cytotoxicity than I-MCNs and S-MCNs

After passing the initial host defense line of the pulmonary surfactant, invading particles reach the alveoli to interact with alveolar epithelial cells and resident macrophages (Xia et al., 2016). Here, we determined the cytotoxicity of MCNs to J774A.1 macrophages and A549 lung epithelial cells. As shown in Fig. 5a, the CCK-8 assay indicated that all MCNs at $\geq 20 \mu$g/mL caused significant toxicity to J774A.1 cells with more than 20% reduction of cell viability ($p < 0.05$). Importantly, a pronounced size-dependent decline of cell viability was observed from S-MCNs to I-MCNs and L-MCNs at all concentrations (Fig. 5a,
p < 0.05). In support of this finding, a size-dependent increase of extracellular LDH release was found, especially in cells treated with 3 sized MCNs at 80 and 160 μg/mL (Fig. 5b, p < 0.05). Furthermore, remarkable cellular morphological alterations were visualized, as MCN-treated cells lost the macrophagic characteristic structure: surface protrusions, relative to untreated cells (Fig. 5c, denoted by yellow arrows). As a result, MCN-treated cells became rounder and harbored marked cell membrane collapse in the cellular body (Fig. 5c). These morphological alterations were more severe in L-MCN-treated cells than S-MCN- and I-MCN-treated cells (Fig. 5c). Meanwhile, we evaluated the cytotoxicity of these MCNs to A549 cells. Similar to the results of J774A.1 cells, all MCNs incurred significant toxicity to A549 cells starting at 10 μg/ml (p < 0.05), and an apparent size-dependent toxicity was found from S-MCNs to I-MCNs and L-MCNs (Fig. 5d, p < 0.05). These data together demonstrated that MCN exposure resulted in cytotoxicity to J774A.1 macrophages and A549 lung epithelial cells, and this toxic effect was closely associated with the size of MCNs, displaying a size-dependent increase of cytotoxicity.

Our previous studies demonstrated that nanoparticles entering the respiratory portal are immediately coated with a corona of the natural pulmonary surfactant (Fan et al., 2011; Hu et al., 2013; Valle et al., 2015). The pulmonary surfactant corona may determine the biological identity of the inhaled nanoparticles, and redefine their cytotoxicity and pro-inflammatory profiles (Monopoli et al., 2012). Here we tested this hypothesis by pre-incubating MCNs with Infasurf before running the cytotoxicity assays shown in Fig. 5a-d. As shown in Fig. 5e and f, pre-incubation with Infasurf remarkably reduced MCN-induced toxicity, as reflected by elevated cell viability and improved cellular morphology relative to treated cells without surfactant pre-incubation (p < 0.05). It indicates that the pulmonary surfactant corona formed on the surface of MCNs effectively reduced cytotoxicity of this nanomaterial, similar to the effect of surfactant made on silver nanoparticles (Sweeney et al., 2016; Theodorou et al., 2016).

Zinc nitrate was used in the synthesis of MCN materials, and the final mass of zinc was below 10% in MCNs, as characterized by energy dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) analysis. To this end, the zinc toxicity was further assayed. As shown in Fig. S2, zinc ions from 1.25 to 10 μg/mL (equivalent to that in MCNs from 12.5 to 100 μg/mL) did not incur toxicity to cells. Since MCNs below 100 μg/mL were used in cell experiments in the current study, these results would rule out the contribution of zinc ions to MCN-induced cytotoxicity. Additionally, CTAB was also used the synthesis of MCNs. However, CTAB would decompose at 250°C (Chen et al., 2013; Holzinger et al., 2001). Since a condition of 800°C was used during MCN synthesis, there would no residual CTAB left in synthesized materials, excluding the contribution of CTAB to MCN-induced cytotoxicity.

Since macrophages are the most cardinal phagocytes to ingest invading particles (Ma et al., 2016, 2017), we therefore investigated the intracellular accumulation of MCNs. As shown in Fig. 5c, MCN localization could be visualized in treated cells, as characterized by the dark dots (indicted by blue arrows). In contrast, no such dark dots could be found in untreated cells (Fig. 5c). To substantiate this observation, TEM was carried out. As shown in Fig. 6, TEM imaging corroborated the intracellular accumulation of MCNs. MCNs were visualized in the cytosol (mostly within membrane bound structures, namely phagosomes, as indicted in the enlarged images) in J774A.1 cells upon S-MCNs, I-MCNs and L-MCNs, analogous to previous findings (Kostarelos et al., 2007). To this end, we would assume that the intracellular accumulation of MCNs compromised the macrophagic morphology as evidenced by cell membrane collapse and loss of protrusions, similar to our recent report on GO materials (Qu et al., 2013). To better delineate the mechanisms underlying MCN-induced biological effects, we endeavored to avoid dramatic cell death by using relatively low concentrations in the following experiments that have a strong relevance to environmental exposure.

2.4. Oxidative stress contributed to MCN-induced cytotoxicity

It is known that the uptake of foreign particles by macrophages generally leads to oxidative stress by promoting ROS production (Nel et al., 2006; Qu et al., 2013). Thus, intracellular ROS production was assayed in macrophages upon exposure of MCNs through FACS analysis. As shown in Fig. 7a, ROS production was greatly enhanced in J774A.1 cells treated with S-MCNs, I-MCNs and L-MCNs after exposure for 1 and 6 hr at 5 and 10 μg/mL, relative to the untreated cells (Fig. 7a and b, p < 0.001). Although L-MCNs triggered greater ROS production than S-MCNs and I-MCNs, the difference among the 3 MCNs was marginal for the treatment at 5 μg/mL for 1 hr. In contrast, a more pronounced difference was observed for cells treated with MCNs at 10 μg/mL, revealing an obvious size-dependency (Fig. 7a, p < 0.05). A similar size-dependent induction of ROS generation was demonstrated in J774A.1 cells post MCNs exposure for 6 hr at both 5 and 10 μg/mL (Fig. 7b, p < 0.05). These data together demonstrated that MCNs stimulated remarkable ROS production in J774A.1 cells, indicating significant oxidative stress, and the generation of ROS was closely related to the different sizes of the MCNs.

Oxidative stress has been recognized as the most important mechanism responsible for nanomaterial-induced toxicity to various cells including macrophages (Li et al., 2008; Xia et al., 2006). As a surrogate of oxidative stress, ROS is an crucial executor of cytotoxicity caused by nanomaterials including carbon nanomaterials (Garza et al., 2008; Nishanth et al., 2011). To verify that ROS contributed to MCN-associated toxicity, we pre-treated cells with a potent ROS scavenger, NAC (N-acetyl-cysteine), which is functioned to quench the intracellular ROS. As shown in Fig. 7c, NAC pre-treatment greatly quenched the ROS production by more than 30% with or without L-MCNs treatment, relative to cells without NAC pre-treatment (Fig. 7c, p < 0.05), suggesting a robust ability of NAC to remove cellular ROS. As a consequence of diminished cellular ROS generation, L-MCN-induced cytotoxicity was significantly reversed, as evidenced by an over 35% increase of live cell number upon NAC pre-treatment, compared to that without NAC pre-treatment (Fig. 7d, p < 0.05). Taken together, these data suggested that MCN-induced cytotoxicity was attributed (at least partially) to oxidative stress.

2.5. MCNs triggered pro-inflammatory responses of macrophages

The above data showed that MCNs could bring about a size-dependent cytotoxicity, and the most significant difference was demonstrated between S-MCNs and L-MCNs. Thus, we
interrogated the differences between S-MCNs and L-MCNs in the following experiments. Our recent studies uncovered that an activate interaction between carbon nanomaterials and macrophages would indeed activate macrophages to a pro-inflammatory priming state (Ma et al., 2015, 2016, 2017; Xu et al., 2016). Since we observed a significant interaction of MCNs with J774A.1 cells, we postulated that MCNs were also able to activate these cells. To test this hypothesis, we investigated the pro-inflammatory responses of J774A.1 cells responding to MCNs by measuring the induction of inflammatory cytokines, TNF-α and IL-6. As shown in Fig. 8a, an increased expression (by 30%–40% induction) was found for TNF-α in J774A.1 cells treated with S-MCNs and L-MCNs at 40 μg/mL (p < 0.05). Similar findings were observed for IL-6 in J774A.1 cells treated with S-MCNs and L-MCNs (Fig. 8b, p < 0.05). Considering the effect of size, there was no a significant size-dependent increase of TNF-α and IL-6 mRNA expression between S-MCNs and L-MCNs. These data together demonstrated that MCNs activated macrophages to induce inflammatory cytokines.

2.6. MCNs induced inflammation and lung fibrosis in mice

Experimental data have revealed that inhalation is the most common way to expose to airborne nanomaterials (Xia et al., 2016), and nanomaterials with a large specific surface area (such as GO and carbon nanotubes) are more prone to induce lung injury (Ma et al., 2015, 2016, 2017; Xu et al., 2016). Therefore, we examined the effects of MCN exposure in the lung through oropharyngeal aspiration (i.t.) at the dose of 2 mg/mL for 1 and 7 days. As shown Fig. 9a, lung exposure of L-MCNs by i.t. instillation triggered great systemic inflammation after 1 day, as evidenced by >1.5 fold increase of white blood cell (WBC) count in the peripheral blood, relative to untreated mice (p < 0.05). By contrast, S-MCNs did not significantly increase the WBC count (Fig. 9a, p > 0.05). Similar to the 1-day administration, MCNs stimulated the number of WBCs in peripheral blood after 7-day exposure, especially in L-MCN-treated mice (Fig. 9b, p < 0.05). In agreement with this result, the serum TNF-α levels in sera were increased by 18% upon L-MCN administration for 1 day, compared to untreated mice (Fig. 9c). As for the 7-day exposure, the serum TNF-α level was increased by approximately 2 folds in S-MCN- and L-MCN-treated mice, especially for L-MCN-treated mice, compared with untreated mice (Fig. 9d, p < 0.05). These data together suggested that MCNs instigated systemic inflammatory responses in mice upon i.t. exposure of MCNs, especially for L-MCNs.

Afterwards, we looked into the lung injuries in mice challenged by MCNs. As reflected by the H&E staining results, S-MCNs and L-MCNs caused alveolar wall thickening, filtration
Fig. 7 – MCNs triggered a burst of ROS generation. (a) Relative intracellular ROS levels were determined by dichlorofluorescein (DCF) fluorescence intensities through flow cytometry (FACS) analysis in J774A.1 cells in response to MCNs at 5 and 10 μg/mL for 1 and 6 hr (n = 4). (b) Relative ROS levels in L-MCN-treated cells with or without N-acetyl-cysteine (NAC) pretreatment (n = 4). (c) Cell number counting of cells treated with L-MCNs at 40 μg/mL for 12 hr with or without NAC pretreatment (n = 4). After cells were collected, cells were stained with Trypan blue to exclude dead cells. Cell numbers were counted with a hemocytometer.
of inflammatory cells and collagen accumulation in bronchioles and alveoli, especially for mice treated with MCNs for 7 days, compared to untreated mice (Fig. 10a). Meanwhile, collapse of lung alveoli was observed in mice treated with L-MCNs for 7 days (enlarged image, Fig. 10a), indicating significant pulmonary surfactant inhibition as predicted by our in vitro biophysical studies (Figs. 2-4). The important aspect of inflammatory responses to pathogenic particles is associated with the
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**Fig. 8** - Macrophagic activation induced by MCNs. The expression levels of TNF-α mRNA (a) and IL-6 mRNA (b) were assessed in J774A.1 cells treated with MCNs at 40 μg/mL for 24 hr (n = 4). *p < 0.05, compared to untreated control. TNF: tumor necrosis factor; mRNA: messenger ribonucleic acid.
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**Fig. 9** - Systemic inflammation induced by MCNs in mice. Mice (n = 4) were administrated with MCN materials for 1 and 7 days. Thereafter, a series of assays were performed. White blood cell (WBC) counts in mice after exposure for 1 day (a) and 7 days (b). Serum concentrations of (b) TNF-α in mice post exposure for 1 day (b) and 7 days (d). *p < 0.05, compared to untreated control.
recruitment of inflammatory cells (Borregaard, 2010; Chavakis, 2012). Consistent with our previous findings on GO and carbon nanotubes (Ma et al., 2015, 2016, 2017; Xu et al., 2016), the bronchioles and alveoli, as the exposure site, were infiltrated with inflammatory cells (Fig. 10a, denoted by arrows), indicative of enhanced recruitment of inflammatory cells to the exposure site.

To define the elevated synthesis of collagen bronchioles and alveoli, Masson’s trichrome staining was performed in lung sections. As manifested by the enhanced staining (in blue, indicated by arrows) in lungs from MCN-administrated mice, collagen accumulation was evident, especially for L-MCN-treated mice, diagnostic of lung fibrosis (Fig. 10b). This change was more obvious in L-MCN-treated mice for 7 days than that in L-MCN-treated mice for 1 day (Fig. 10b). Furthermore, to substantiate the occurrence of lung fibrosis, we probed the mass of α-SMA. Enhanced mass of α-SMA is an established surrogate of excessive deposition of the extracellular matrix.

**Fig. 10** – Lung inflammation and fibrosis in mice upon exposure to MCNs. (a) Hematoxylin–Eosin (H&E) staining (arrows denote inflammatory cells) and (b) Masson’s trichrome staining (blue color indicates collagen in the lung) of lung sections from mice treated with MCNs for 1 and 7 days. Original magnification, ×100. Enlarged images show pulmonary alveoli from the line squares (Original magnification, ×400). (c) Immunohistochemical analysis of alpha-smooth muscle actin (α-SMA) in lung sections. Arrows indicate positive staining of α-SMA. Original magnification, ×200.
matrix during lung fibrosis (Desmouliere, 1995; Perdue and Brody, 1994; Zhang et al., 1996). The immunohistochemical analysis manifested increased content of α-SMA in the lung sections of MCN-treated mice, relative to untreated mice (Fig. 10c).

Particle size is an important determinant for their bioreactivity in vitro and in vivo (Jiang et al., 2008; Silva et al., 2014). In other words, nanomaterials with different sizes may elicit distinct biological effects (Kostarelos and Novoselov, 2014; Servant et al., 2014). For instance, a size-dependent pattern for cytotoxicity and cellular uptake was observed in a few cell types, including alveolar epithelial cells, mesenchymal stem cells and macrophages (Akhavan et al., 2012; Chang et al., 2011; Matesanz et al., 2013; Yue et al., 2012). Similarly, here we observed enhanced infiltration of inflammatory cells and fibrosis in L-MCN-treated mice in comparison to S-MCN-treated mice (Fig. 10), revealing a size-dependent damage to the lung by MCNs.

3. Conclusions

In the current study, we uncovered the comprehensive toxicological profile of MCNs in the lung under the scenario of moderate environmental exposure. MCNs were found to induce biophysical inhibition of pulmonary surfactant, even at a low concentration of 10 μg/mL. Inhibition of pulmonary surfactant increased the alveolar surface tension, thus leading to severe alveolar collapse observed in mice exposure to the MCNs. Furthermore, MCNs at low concentrations compromised the viability of J774A.1 macrophages and lung epithelial A549 cells. Incubating with nature pulmonary surfactant effectively reduced the cytotoxicity of MCNs. Regarding the pro-inflammatory responses, MCNs triggered macrophage activation in vitro, and also provoked lung inflammation in mice after inhalation exposure, coupled with lung fibrosis. Importantly, we found that the size of MCNs plays a significant role in regulating cytotoxicity and the pro-inflammatory potential of MCNs. Although no statistically significant size-dependence was observed with their biophysical inhibition potential on natural pulmonary surfactant, we found that larger MCNs in general induced more pronounced cytotoxicological effects than their smaller counterparts. The mechanism of the MCN-induced cytotoxicity was partially attributed to ROS generation. Taken together, here we demonstrated the comprehensive toxicological profile of MCNs in the lung, i.e., inhibiting pulmonary surfactant, inducing cytotoxicity, and triggering lung inflammation and fibrosis. Our results further suggested that fine-tuning the size of MCNs could be a practical precautionary design strategy to increase safety and biocompatibility of MCNs.
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Appendix A Supplementary data

Additional experimental results related to this work are provided online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jes.2017.08.018.
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