## Example Problems 1

1. An experiment was installed to test 4 rates of Zn on cabbage. There were 3 replicates and the experiment was installed in a randomized complete block design. The yields are given in the table below.

| Treatment <br> $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{Zn} / \mathrm{ha}$ | I | Block |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3.5 | II | III |
| 0 | 3.9 | 3.8 | 3.7 |
| 5 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 4.4 |
| 10 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.8 |
| 15 | 4.2 | 4.9 |  |

A. Calculate the analysis of variance for this data set and perform the appropriate F tests. Write the formula for each statistic calculated.
B. Subdivide the treatment SS into single df using 2 sets of orthogonal comparisons.
C. Calculate the SS for the orthogonal comparisons for trends using the orthogonal comparison method and perform the F tests.
D. Interpret the results.
2. Three varieties of cowpeas were grown in pots arranged in a completely randomized design. The dry matter yields are given in the table below.

| Sample | Variety |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C |
| 1 | 2 | 8 | 11 |
| 2 | 4 | 7 | 10 |
| 3 | 3 | 9 | 12 |

a. Calculate the analysis of variance for the experiment conducted as a CR design.
b. Compare variety A with Others in the analysis of variance.
c. Compare variety B with variety C in the analysis of variance.
d. Perform the appropriate F tests and interpret the results.
e. Write out the analysis of variance (sources of variation and df) for this experiment installed in a randomized complete block design.
3. Provide the ANOVA (sources of variation and df) for an experiment in which 6 varieties of grapefruit were grown in 3 replicates in a randomized complete block design with data collected as indicated.
A. Without sampling.
B. With 5 fruits sampled/variety/rep.
C. With 2 determinations made for acidity per fruit.
D. Indicate the F tests with arrows for the 3 ANOVAs above for the random model.
4. Write our the ANOVA (sources of variation and df) for the following experiments. Subdivide the treatment df where appropriate and indicate the F test(s) with arrows for the fixed and random models.
A. Three (3) varieties of wheat were compared in a completely randomized design with 4 plots of each variety.
B. Four (4) feeding rations were evaluated on 3 breeds of chickens. There were 5 chickens in a pen for each treatment (considered as samples) and the treatments were replicated 4 times. The experiment was installed in a randomized complete block design.
C. Five (5) fruits were taken from each of 4 tangerine trees and each fruit was analyzed for sugar content with 2 determinations being made for each fruit.
D. Three (3) herbicides were evaluated on three (3) varieties of sugarcane. Each treatment was replicated 4 times in a randomized complete block design.
E. The effects of 3 rates of $\mathrm{N}, 2$ rates of P and 4 rates of K in factorial combinations were evaluated with corn. Three (3) replicates were installed in a randomized complete block design.
5. Write out the orthogonal coefficients for the treatment combinations below. Indicate the df for the comparisons.
A. Four (4) varieties of sorghum (A, B, C, D) where variety A is the standard variety for the area.
B. Three (3) levels of $\mathrm{P}(0,100,200 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha})$ in factorial combination with 2 levels of $\mathrm{Zn}(0$, $10 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ ). Write out 2 sets of comparisons.
C. Six (6) herbicides are compared. Two are preemergence herbicides and 4 are postemergence herbicides.
D. Five (5) varieties of sweet corn with 2 varieties that are early maturing and 3 varieties that are late maturing.
6. Red clover plants were inoculated with 6 strains of Rhozobium and the nitrogen content of the plants was later determined. Each treatment was replicated 5 times and the experiment was conducted as a completely randomized design. The analysis of variance indicated that there was a highly significant difference between strains. The MSE was 11.79. The treatment means are given in the table below.

|  | Rhizobium strains |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  | N content (mg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Means | 28.8 | 24.0 | 14.6 | 19.9 | 13.3 | 19.4 |  |

A. Compare the treatment means using the LSD (use lines and letters).
B. Compare the treatment means using Duncan's multiple range test (use letters).
7. Assuming and LSD of 3.4 compare the following means using lines and letters.

| Treatments | K0 | K1 | K2 | K3 | K4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Means | 12 | 17 | 21 | 22 | 24 |

## 8. Assuming Duncan's shortest significant difference values of

| $p=$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{D}=$ | 4.8 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 6.0 |

Compare the following sets of means.
A. $31,30,25,24,20$
B. $37,33,31,29,25,20$

Example Problems 1 Answers

1. A.

|  |  |  |  |  | F Required |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Source | df | SS | MS | F | F.05 | F.01 |
| Block | 2 | 0.552 | 0.276 | $7.26^{*}$ | 5.14 | 10.92 |
| Zn | $(3)$ | 1.18 | 0.393 | $10.34^{* *}$ | 4.76 | 9.78 |
| Zn linear | 1 | 1.0402 | 1.0402 | $27.37^{* *}$ | 5.99 | 13.75 |
| Zn quad | 1 | 0.1408 | 0.1408 | 3.7 |  |  |
| Zn cubic | 1 | 0.0015 | 0.0015 |  |  |  |
| Error | 6 | 0.228 | 0.038 | Error for all F tests |  |  |
| Total | 11 | 1.96 |  |  |  |  |

1. B.

|  | Zn |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0 | 5 | 10 | 15 | df |
| $1^{\text {st }}$ Set |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 vs others | -3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 vs $(10 \& 15)$ | 0 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 10 vs 15 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| $2^{\text {nd }}$ Set |  |  |  |  |  |
| linear | -3 | -1 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| quadratic | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| cubic | -1 | 3 | -3 | 1 | 1 |

1. C. $\operatorname{SSlin}=1.0401$
SSquad $=0.1408 \quad$ SScubic $=0.0015$
2. D. There is a highly significant linear response to Zn . Yields increase linearly as Zn applications increase.
3. A.

|  |  |  |  |  | F Required |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Source | df | SS | MS | F | F.05 | F.01 |  |
| Bet. Var. | $(2)$ | 98 | 49 | $49.00^{* *}$ | 5.14 | 10.92 |  |
| A vs Others | 1 | 84.5 | 84.5 | $84.50^{* *}$ | 5.99 | 13.75 |  |
| B vs C | 1 | 13.5 | 13.5 | $13.50^{*}$ |  |  |  |
| Within Var | 6 | 6.0 | 1.00 | Error for all F tests |  |  |  |
| Total | 8 | 104.0 |  |  |  |  |  |

2. B.

|  | Variety |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A | B | C | df |
| A vs Others | -2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| B vs C | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 |

2. C. SS A vs Others $=84.5 \quad$ SS B vs $C=13.5$
3. D. Dry matter yields of variety A were highly significantly lower than those of varieties B and C, which were not significantly different from each other.
4. E. As RCBD

| Source | df |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Block | 2 |  |
| Variety | 2 |  |
| Error | 4 | Error for all F tests |
| Total | 8 |  |


| 3. A. | Source | df |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
| Block | 2 |  |
| Variety | 5 |  |
| Error | 10 | Error for all $F$ tests |
| Total | 17 |  |


| 3. B. | Source | df | Error for testing block and (s-1) b v = $4 \times 3 \times 6$, Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Block | 2 |  |
|  | Variety | 5 |  |
|  | Expt Error | 10 |  |
|  | Sampling Error | 72 |  |
|  | Total | 89 |  |
| 3. C. | Source | df |  |
|  | Block | 2 |  |
|  | Variety | 5 | Error for testing block and (s-1) b v $=4 \times 3 \times 6$, Erro (d-1) s b v $=1 \times 5 \times 3 \times 6$ |
|  | Expt Error | 10 |  |
|  | Fruit (Blk, Var) | 72 |  |
|  | Dtm (Frt/Blk,Var) | 90 |  |
|  | Total | 179 |  |
| 3. D. F tests shown above |  |  |  |
| 4. A. | Source | df | Random |
|  | Between Var M1 | 2 | M1/M2 |
|  | Within Var M2 | 9 |  |
|  | Total | 11 |  |
| 4. B. | Source | df | Fixed Random |
|  | Block M1 | 3 | M1/M6 M1/M6 |
|  | Trt M2 | (11) | M2/M6 M2/M6 |
|  | Breeds M3 | 2 | M3/M6 M3/M5 |
|  | Rations M4 | 3 | M4/M6 M4/M5 |
|  | B x R M5 | 6 | M5/M6 M5/M6 |
|  | Expt Error M6 | 33 | M6/M7 M6/M7 |
|  | Sample Error M7 | 192 |  |
|  | Total | 239 |  |
| 4. C. | Source |  | df Random |
|  | Trees | M1 | 3 M1/M2 |
|  | Fruit/Tree | M2 | 16 M2/M3 |
|  | Dtm/Fruit/Tree | M3 | 20 |
|  | Total |  | 39 |


| 4. D. | Source |  | df | Fixed |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Block | M1 | 3 | M1/M6 | R11/M6 |
| Trt | M2 | (8) | M2/M6 | M2/M6 |
| Herb | M3 | 2 | M3/M6 | M3/M5 |
| Var | M4 | 2 | M4/M6 | M4/M5 |
| Herb $\operatorname{Var}$ | M5 | 4 | M5/M6 | M5/M6 |
| Error | M6 | 24 |  |  |
| Total |  | 35 |  |  |


| 4. E. | Source |  | df | Fixed | Random |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Block | M1 | 2 | M1/M10 | M1/M10 |  |
| Trt | M2 | $(23)$ | M2/M10 | M2/M10 |  |
| N | M3 | 2 | M3/M10 | M3/M5 |  |
| P | M4 | 1 | M4/M10 | M4/M5 |  |
| NxP | M5 | 2 | M5/M10 | M5/M9 |  |
| K | M6 | 3 | M6/M10 | M6/M9 |  |
| NxK | M7 | 6 | M7/M10 | M7/M9 |  |
| PxK | M8 | 3 | M8/M10 | M8/M9 |  |
| NxPxK | M9 | 6 | M9/M10 | M9/M10 |  |
| Error | M10 | 46 |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 71 |  |  |  |

5. A.

|  | Varieties |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A (std) | B | C | D | df |
| A vs others | -3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| B vs C+D | 0 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| C vs D | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 |

5. B.

|  | P0Z0 | P0Z10 | P100Z0 | P100Z10 | P200Z0 | P200Z10 | df |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P0 vs P100+P200 | -2 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| P100 vs P200 | 0 | 0 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Z0 vs Z10 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| P0vsP100+P200xZ | 2 | -2 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| P100vsP200xZ | 0 | 0 | 1 | -1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |


|  | P0Z0 | P0Z10 | P100Z0 | P100Z10 | P200Z0 | P200Z10 | df |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| P linear | -1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| P quadratic | 1 | 1 | -2 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Z0 vs Z10 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| Plin x Z | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 |
| Pquad x Z | -1 | 1 | 2 | -2 | -1 | 1 | 1 |

5. C.

|  | Preemergence |  | Postemergence |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | F | df |
| Pre vs Post | -2 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| A vs B | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| C vs DEF | 0 | 0 | -3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| D vs EF | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| E vs F | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 |

5. D.

|  | Early |  | Late |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Sf | La | Nb | Ks | Ia | df |
| Early vs Late | -3 | -3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Sf vs La | -1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Nb vs Ks+Ia | 0 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Ks vs Ia | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 |

6. 

|  | Rhizobium strains |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
|  | N content (mg) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Means | 28.8 | 24.0 | 14.6 | 19.9 | 13.3 | 19.4 |  |

ANOVA
Source
Bet Trt 5

Within Trt 24
Total 29
a. LSD

LSD $=\mathrm{t} .05^{*}$ SED $\quad($ SED $=$ standard error of a difference $)$
SED $=\operatorname{sqrt}((2)(11.79) / 5)=2.17$
$\mathrm{t} .05,24 \mathrm{df}=2.064$
$\mathrm{LSD}=4.48$

Make array of means:

|  |  | Rhizobium strains |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 5 |
| Means | 28.8 | 24.0 | 19.9 | 19.4 | 14.6 | 13.3 |
| LSD | - |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LSD | a | b | $\overline{\text { bc }}$ | c | d | d |

b. DMR
DMR a b
b b
c c

| $p=$ | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $R=$ | 1.00 | 1.05 | 1.08 | 1.10 |
| $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{R}^{*} \mathrm{LSD}$ | 4.48 | 4.70 | 4.84 | 4.93 |

7. 

| Treatments | K0 | K1 | K2 | K3 | K4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Means | 12 | 17 | $\underline{21}$ | 22 | 24 |
|  | c | b | a | a | a |

8. A.

| 31 | 30 | 25 | 24 | 20 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| a | a | b | b | b |

8. B.

37
a ab b bc c

