Amongst the greatest of the names of Shiva is Nataraja, Lord of Dancers, or King of Actors. The Cosmos is His theatre, there are many different steps in His repertory, He Himself is actor and audience—

When the Actor beateth the drum,
Everybody cometh to see the show;
When the Actor collecteth the stage properties
He abideth alone in His happiness.

How many various dances of Shiva are known to His worshippers I cannot say. No doubt the root idea behind all of these dances is more or less one and the same, the manifestation of primal rhythmic energy. Shiva is the Eros Protogonos of Lucian, when he wrote:

“It would seem that dancing came into being at the beginning of all things, and was brought to light together with Eros, that ancient one, for we see this primeval dancing clearly set forth in the choral dance of the constellations, and in the planets and fixed stars, their interweaving and interchange and orderly harmony.”

I do not mean to say that the most profound interpretation of Shiva’s dance was present in the minds of those who first danced in frantic, and perhaps intoxicated energy, in honor of the pre-Aryan hill-god, afterwards merged in Shiva. A great motif in religion or art, any great symbol becomes all things to all men; age after age it yields to men such treasure as they find in their own hearts. Whatever the origins of Shiva’s dance, it became in time the clearest image of the activity of God which any art or religion can
boast of. Of the various dances of Shiva I shall only speak of three, one of them alone forming the main subject of interpretation. The first is an evening dance in the Himalayas, with a divine chorus, described as follows in the *Shiva Pradosha Stotra*:

“Placing the Mother of the Three Worlds upon a golden throne, studded with precious gems, Shulapani dances on the heights of Kailasa, and all the gods gather round Him:

“Sarasvati plays on the vina, Indra on the flute, Brahma holds the time-marking cymbals Lakshmi begins a song, Vishnu plays on a drum, and all the gods stand round about:

“Gandharvas, Yaksas, Patagas, Uragas, Suddhas, Sadhyas, Vidyadharas, Amaras, Apsaras and all the beings dwelling in the three worlds assemble there to witness the celestial dance and hear the music of the divine choir at the hour of twilight.”

This evening dance is also referred to in the invocation preceding the *Katha Sarit Sagara*.

In the pictures of this dance, Shiva is two-handed, and the cooperation of the gods is clearly indicated in their position of chorus. There is no prostrate Asura trampled under Shiva’s feet. So far as I know, no special interpretations of this dance occur in Shaiva literature.

The second well known dance of Shiva is called the *Tandava*, and belongs to His *tamasic* aspect as Bhairava or Vira-bhadra. It is performed in cemeteries and burning grounds, where Shiva, usually in ten-armed form, dances wildly with Devi, accompanied by troops of capering imps. Representations of this dance are common amongst ancient sculptures, as at Elura, Elephanta, and also Bhuvaneshvara. The *tandava* dance is in origin that of a pre-Aryan divinity, half-god, half-demon, who holds his midnight revels in the burning ground. In later times, this dance in the cremation ground, sometimes of Shiva, sometimes of Devi, is interpreted in Shaiva and Shakta literature in a most touching and profound sense.

Thirdly, we have the Nadanta dance of Nataraja before the assembly (*sabha*) in the golden hail of Chidambara or Tillai, the centre of the Universe, first revealed to gods and rishis after the submission of the latter in the forest of Taragam, as related to the *Koyil Puranam*. The legend, which has after all, no very close connection with the real meaning of the dance, may be summarised as follows:

In the forest of Taragam dwelt multitudes of heretical rishis, following of the Mimamsa. Thither proceeded Shiva to confute them, accompanied by Vishnu disguised as a beautiful woman, and Ati-Sheshan. The rishis were at first led to violent dispute amongst themselves, but their anger was soon directed against Shiva, and they endeavored to destroy Him by means of incantations. A fierce tiger was created in sacrificial fires, and rushed upon Him; but smiling gently, He seized it and, with the nail of His little finger, stripped off its skin, and wrapped it about Himself like a silken cloth. Undiscouraged by failure, the sages renewed their offerings, and produced a monstrous serpent, which however, Shiva seized and wreathed about His neck like a garland. Then He began to dance; but there rushed upon Him a last monster in the shape of a malignant dwarf, Muyalaka. Upon him the God pressed the tip of His foot, and broke the creature’s back, so that it writhed upon the ground; and so, His last foe prostrate Shiva resumed the dance, witnessed by gods and rishis.

Then Ati-Sheshan worshipped Shiva, and prayed above all things for the boon, once more to behold this mystic dance; Shiva promised that he should behold the dance again in sacred Tillai, the centre of the Universe.

This dance of Shiva in Chidambaram or Tillai forms the motif of the South Indian copper images of Shri Nataraja, the Lord of the Dance. These images vary amongst themselves in minor details, but all express one fundamental conception. Before proceeding to enquire what these may be, it will be

---

1 A similar story is elsewhere related about an elephant; and these legends account for the elephant or tiger skin, which Shiva wears.
necessary to describe the image of Shri Nataraja as typically represented. The images, then, represent Shiva dancing, having four hands, with braided and jewelled hair of which the lower locks are whirling in the dance. In His hair may be seen a wreathing cobra, a skull, and the mermaid figure of Ganga; upon it rests the crescent moon, and it is crowned with a wreath of Cassia leaves. In His right ear He wears a man’s earring, a woman’s in the left; He is adorned with necklaces and armlets, a jewelled belt, anklets, bracelets, finger and toe-rings. The chief part of His dress consists of tightly fitting breeches, and He wears also a fluttering scarf and a sacred thread. One right hand holds a drum, the other is uplifted in the sign of do not fear: one left hand holds fire, the other points down upon the demon Muyalaka, a dwarf holding a cobra; the left foot is raised. There is a lotus pedestal from which springs an encircling glory (tiruvasi), fringed with flame, and touched within by the hands holding drum and fire. The images are of all sizes, rarely if ever exceeding four feet in total height.

Even without reliance upon literary references, the interpretation of this dance would not be difficult. Fortunately, however, we have the assistance of a copious contemporary literature, which enables us to fully explain not only the general significance of the dance, but equally, the details of its concrete symbolism. Some of the peculiarities of the Nataraja images, of course, belong to the conception of Shiva generally, and not to the dance in particular. Such are the braided locks, as of a yogi: the Cassia garland: the skull of Brahma: the figure of Ganga, (the Ganges fallen from heaven and lost in Shiva’s hair): the cobras: the different earrings, betokening the dual nature of Mahadev, ‘whose half is Uma’: and the four arms. The drum also is a general attribute of Shiva, belonging to his character of Yogi, though in the dance, it has further a special significance. What then is the meaning of Shiva’s Nadanta dance, as understood by Shaivas? Its essential significance is given in texts such as the following:

“Our Lord is the Dancer, who, like the heat latent in firewood, diffuses His power in mind and matter, and makes them dance in their turn.”

The dance, in fact, represents His five activities (Pancakritya), viz.: Shrishti (overlooking, creation, evolution), Sthiti (preservation, support), Samhara (destruction, evolution), Tirobhava (veiling, embodiment, illusion, and also, giving rest), Anugraha (release, salvation, grace). These, separately considered, are the activities of the deities Brahma, Vishnu, Rudra, Maheshvara and Sadashiva.

This cosmic activity is the central motif of the dance. Further quotations will illustrate and explain the more detailed symbolisms. Unmai Vilakkam, verse 36, tells us:

“Creation arises from the drum: protection proceeds from the hand of hope: from fire proceeds destruction: the foot held aloft gives release.” It will be observed that the fourth hand points to this lifted foot, the refuge of the soul.

We have also the following from Chidambara Mummani Kovai:

“O my Lord, Thy hand holding the sacred drum has made and ordered the heavens and earth and other worlds and innumerable souls. Thy lifted hand protects both the conscious and unconscious order of thy creation. All these worlds are transformed by Thy hand bearing fire. Thy sacred foot, planted on the ground, gives an abode to the tired soul struggling in the toils of causality. It is Thy lifted foot that grants eternal bliss to those that approach Thee. These Five-Actions are indeed Thy Handiwork.”

The following verses from the Tirukuttu Darshana (Vision of the Sacred Dance), forming the ninth tantra of Tirumular’s Tirumantram, expand the central motif further:

2 Kadavul Mamunivar’s Tiruvatavar Puranam, Puttaraivatil, Venracarukkam, stanza 75, translated by Nallasvami Pillai, Shivajnanabodham, p. 74. This could also be rendered:

Like heat latent in firewood, he fills all bodies:

Our Father dances, moving all souls into action, know ye!

Compare Eckhart, “Just as the fire infuses the essence and clearness into the dry wood, so has God done with man.”
“His form is everywhere: all-pervading in His Shiva-Shakti
Chidambaram is everywhere, everywhere His dance:
As Shiva is all and omnipresent,
Everywhere is Shiva’s gracious dance made manifest.
His five-fold dances are temporal and timeless.
His five-fold dances are His Five Activities.
By His Grace He performs the five acts,
This is the sacred dance of Uma-Sahaya.
He dances with Water, Fire, Wind and Ether,
Thus our Lord dances ever in the court.

Visible to those who pass over Maya and Mahamaya (illusion and super-illusion):

Our Lord dances His eternal dance.
The form of the Shakti is all delight—
This united delight is Uma’s body:
This form of Shakti arising in time
And uniting the twain is the dance”
His body is Akasha, the dark cloud therein is Muyalaka,
The eight quarters are His eight arms,
The three lights are His three eyes,
Thus becoming, He dances in our body as the congregation.”

This is His dance. Its deepest significance is felt when it is realized that it takes place within the heart and
the self. Everywhere is God: that Everywhere is the heart. Thus also we find another verse:

“The dancing foot, the sound of the tinkling bells,
The songs that are sung and the varying steps,
The form assumed by our Dancing Gurupara—
Find out these within yourself, then shall your fetters fall away.”

To this end, all else but the thought of God must be cast out of the heart, that He alone may abide
and dance therein. In Unmai Vilakkam, we find:

“The silent sages destroying the threefold bond are established where their selves are destroyed.
There they behold the sacred and are filled with bliss. This is the dance of the Lord of the assembly,
‘whose very form is Grace’.”

With this reference to the ‘silent sages’ compare the beautiful words of Tirumular:

“When resting there they (the yogis who attain the highest place of peace) lose themselves and
become idle. . . . Where the idlers dwell is the pure Space. Where the idlers sport is the Light. What the
idlers know is Vedanta. What the idlers find is the deep sleep therein.”

Shiva is a destroyer and loves the burning ground. But what does He destroy? Not merely the
heavens and earth at the close of a world-cycle, but the fetters that bind each separate soul. Where and

---

3 Cf. Marcel Schwob. *Le Livre de Monelle*. “This is the teaching: Destroy, destroy, destroy. Destroy
within yourself, destroy all around you. Make room for your soul and for other souls. Destroy, because
creation proceeds from destruction. . . . For all building up is done with debris, and nothing in the world
is new but shapes. But the shapes must be perpetually destroyed . . . Break every cup from which you
drink.”
what is the burning ground? It is not the place where our earthly bodies are cremated, but the hearts of His lovers, laid waste and desolate. The place where the ego is destroyed signifies the state where illusion and deeds are burnt away: that is the crematorium, the burning-ground where Shri Nataraja dances, and whence He is named Sudalaiyadi. Dancer of the burning-ground. In this simile, we recognize the historical connection between Shiva’s gracious dance as Nataraja, and His wild dance as the demon of the cemetery.

This conception of the dance is current also amongst Shaktas, especially in Bengal, where the Mother rather than the Father-aspect of Shiva is adored. Kali is here the dancer, for whose entrance the heart must be purified by fire, made empty by renunciation. A Bengali Hymn to Kali voices this prayer:

"Because Thou dost love the Burning-ground,
I have made a Burning-ground of my heart—
That Thou, Dark One, haunt of the Burning-ground,
Mayest dance Thy eternal dance.
Nought else is within my heart, O Mother:
Day and night blazes the funeral pyre:
The ashes of the dead, strewn all about,
I have preserved against Thy coming,
With death-conquering Mahakala neath Thy feet
Do Thou enter in, dancing Thy rhythmic dance,
That I may behold Thee with closed eyes."

Returning to the South, we find that in other Tamil texts the purpose of Shiva’s dance is explained. In Shivajnana Siddhiyar, Supaksha, Sutra V, 5, we find,

“For the purpose of securing both kinds of fruit to the countless souls, our Lord, with actions five, dances His dance.” Both kinds of fruit, that is \( \text{iham} \), reward in this world, and \( \text{param} \), bliss in Mukti.

Again, Unmai Vilakkam, v. 32, 37, 9 inform us:

“The Supreme Intelligence dances in the soul . . . for the purpose of removing our sins. By these means, our Father scatters the darkness of illusion (\( \text{maya} \)), burns the thread of causality (\( \text{karma} \)), stamps down evil (\( \text{mala, anava, avidya} \)), showers Grace, and lovingly plunges the soul in the ocean of Bliss (\( \text{ananda} \)). They never see rebirths, who behold this mystic dance.”

The conception of the world process as the Lord’s pastime or amusement (\( \text{lila} \)) is also prominent in the Shaiva scriptures. Thus Tirumular writes, “The perpetual dance is His play.” This spontaneity of Shiva’s dance is so clearly expressed in Skryabin’s Poem of Ecstasy that the extracts following will serve to explain it better than any more formal exposition—what Skryabin wrote is precisely what the Hindu imager moulded:

“\begin{quote}
The Spirit (purusha) playing,
The Spirit longing,
The Spirit with fancy (yoga-maya) creating all,
Surrenders himself to the bliss (ananda) of love
Amid the flowers of His creation (prakriti), He lingers in a kiss.
Blinded by their beauty, He rushes, He frolics, He dances, He whirls.
He is all rapture, all bliss, in this play (lila)
Free, divine, in this love struggle
In the marvellous grandeur of sheer aimlessness,
And in the union of counter-aspirations (dvandva)
in consciousness alone, in love alone,
The Spirit learns the nature (svabhava) of His divine being.
\end{quote}
'O, my world, my life, my blossoming, my ecstasy!
Your every moment I create
By negation of all forms previously lived through:
I am eternal negation (neti, neti).
Enjoying this dance, choking in this whirlwind,
Into the domain of ecstasy, He takes swift flight.
In this unceasing change (samsara, nitya bhava), in this flight, aimless (nishkama), divine
The Spirit comprehends Himself,
In the power of will, alone (kevala) free (mukta),
Ever-creating, all-irradiating, all vivifying,
Divinely playing in the multiplicity of forms (prapancha), He comprehends Himself.
'I already dwell in thee, O, my world,
Thy dream of me—'twas I coming into existence.
And thou art all—one wave of freedom and bliss.
By a general conflagration (maha-pralaya) the universe (samsara) is embraced
The Spirit is at the height of being, and He feels the tide unending
Of the divine power (shakti) of free will. He is all-daring:
What menaced, now is excitement,
What terrified, is now delight.
And the universe resounds with the joyful cry I am.'

This aspect of Shiva’s immanence appears to have given rise to the objection that he dances as do those who seek to please the eyes of mortals: but it is answered that in fact He dances to maintain the life of the cosmos and to give release to those who seek Him. Moreover, if we understand even the dances of human dancers rightly, we shall see that they too lead to freedom. But it is nearer the truth to answer that the reason of His dance lies in His own nature, all his gestures are own-nature-born (svabhava-jah), spontaneous, and purposeless—for His being is beyond the realm of purposes.

In a much more arbitrary way the dance of Shiva is identified with the Pancakshara, or five syllables of the prayer Shiva-ya-na-ma, ‘Hail to Shiva.’ In Unmai Vilakkam we are told: “If this beautiful Five-Letters be meditated upon, the soul will reach the land where there is neither light nor darkness, and there Shakti will make it One with Shivam.”

Another verse of Unmai Vilakkam explains the fiery arch (tiruvasi): The Panchakshara and the Dance are identified with the mystic syllable ‘Om,’ the arch being the kombu or hook of the ideograph of the written symbol:

“The arch over Shri Nataraja is Omkara; and the akshara which is never separate from the Omkara is the contained splendor. This is the Dance of the Lord of Chidambaram.”

The Tiru-Arul-Payan however (Ch. ix.) explains the tiruvasi more naturally as representing the dance of Nature, contrasted with Shiva’s dance of wisdom.

“The dance of nature proceeds on one side: the dance of enlightenment on the other. Fix your mind in the centre of the latter.”

I am indebted to Mr. Nallasvami Pillai for a commentary on this:

The first dance is the action of matter—material and individual energy. This is the arch, tiruvasi, Omkara, the dance of Kali. The other is the Dance of Shiva—the akshara inseparable from the

---

4 From the translation by Lydia L. Pimenoff Noble, published in the Boston Symphony Orchestra Programme, October 29, 1917.

5 See Nandikesvvara, The Mirror of Gesture, translated by Coormaraswamy and Duggirala, p. 11.
Omkara—called *ardhamatra* or the fourth letter of the Pranava—Chaturtam and Turiyam. The first dance is not possible unless Shiva wills it and dances Himself.

The general result of this interpretation of the arch is, then, that it represents matter, nature, Prakriti; the contained splendor, Shiva dancing within and touching the arch with head, hands and feet, is the universal omnipresent Spirit (*Purusha*). Between these stands the individual soul, as *ya* is between *Shi-va* and *na-ma*.

Now to summarize the whole interpretation we find that *The Essential Significance of Shiva’s Dance is threefold: First, it is the image of his Rhythmic Play as the Source of all Movement within the Cosmos, which is Represented by the Arch: Secondly, the Purpose of his Dance is to Release the Countless souls of men from the Snare of Illusion: Thirdly the Place of the Dance, Chidambaram, the Centre of the Universe, is within the Heart.*

So far I have refrained from all aesthetic criticism and have endeavored only to translate the central thought of the conception of Shiva’s dance from plastic to verbal expression, without reference to the beauty or imperfection of individual works. But it may not be out of place to call attention to the grandeur of this conception itself as a synthesis of science, religion and art. How amazing the range of thought and sympathy of those rishi-artists who first conceived such a type as this, affording an image of reality, a key to the complex tissue of life, a theory of nature, not merely satisfactory to a single clique or race, nor acceptable to the thinkers of one century only, but universal in its appeal to the philosopher, the lover, and the artist of all ages and all countries. How supremely great in power and grace this dancing image must appear to all those who have striven in plastic forms to give expression to their intuition of Life!

In these days of specialization we are not accustomed to such a synthesis of thought; but for those who ‘saw’ such images as this, there could have been no division of life and thought into water-tight compartments. Nor do we always realize, when we criticize the merits of individual works, the full extent of the creative power which, to borrow a musical analogy, could discover a mode so expressive of fundamental rhythms and so profoundly significant and inevitable.

Every part of such an image as this is directly expressive, not of any mere superstition or dogma, but of evident facts. No artist of today, however great, could more exactly or more wisely create an image of that Energy which science must postulate behind all phenomena. If we would reconcile Time with Eternity, we can scarcely do so otherwise than by the conception of alternations of phase extending over vast regions of space and great tracts of time. Especially significant, then, is the phase alternation implied by the drum, and the fire which ‘changes,’ not destroys. These are but visual symbols of the theory of the day and night of Brahma.

In the night of Brahma, Nature is inert, and cannot dance till Shiva wills it: He rises from His rapture, and dancing sends through inert matter pulsing waves of awakening sound, and lo! matter also dances appearing as a glory round about Him. Dancing, He sustains its manifold phenomena. In the fulness of time, still dancing, he destroys all forms and names by fire and gives new rest. This is poetry; but none the less, science.

It is not strange that the figure of Nataraja has commanded the adoration of so many generations past: familiar with all scepticisms, expert in tracing all beliefs to primitive superstitions, explorers of the infinitely great and infinitely small, we are worshippers of Nataraja still.

* * *

Certainly, Nietzsche was not a philosopher in the strict sense of the word. He is essentially a poet
and sociologist, and above all, a mystic. He stands in the direct line of European mysticism, and though
less profound, speaks with the same voice as Blake and Whitman. These three might, indeed, be said to
voice the religion of modern Europe—the religion of Idealistic Individualism. If it were realized that his
originality does not consist in an incomprehensible and unnatural novelty, but in a poetic restatement of a
very old position, it might be less needful to waste our breath in the refutation of theses he never upheld.

It is true that we find in his work a certain violence and exaggeration: but its very nature is that of
passionate protest against unworthy values, Pharisaic virtue, and snobisme, and the fact that this protest
was received with so much execration suggests that he may be a true prophet. The stone which the
builders rejected: Blessed are ye when men shall revile you. Of special significance is the beautiful
doctrine of the Superman—so like the Chinese concept of the Superior Man, and the Indian Maha
Purusha, Bodhisattva and Jivan-mukta.

Amongst the chief marks of the mystic are a constant sense of the unity and interdependence of all
life, and of the interpenetration of the spiritual and material—opposed to Puritanism, which distinguishes
the sacred from the secular. So too is the sense of being everywhere at home—unlike the religions of
reward and punishment which speak of a future paradise and hell, and attach an absolute and eternal
value to good and evil. “All things,” he says, “are enlinked, enlaced and enamoured”: “I conjure you, my
brethren, remain true to the earth, and believe not those who speak to you of superearthly hopes”: “For
me—how could there be an outside of me? There is no outside”: “Every moment beginneth existence,
around every ‘Here’ rolleth the ball ‘There.’ The middle is everywhere”: “Becoming must appear
justified at every instant . . . the present must not under any circumstances be justified by a future, nor the
past be justified for the sake of the present.” All these are characteristic mystic intuitions or logical
deductions from monism, in close accord with the Brahmanical formula, “That art thou.”

The doctrine of the Superman whose virtue stands “beyond good and evil,” who is at once the
flower and the leader and saviour of men, has been put forward again and again in the world’s history. A
host of names for this ideal occur in Indian literature: he is the Arhat (advent), Buddha (enlightened), Jina
(conqueror) Tirthakara (finder of the ford), the Bodhisattva (incarnation of the bestowing virtue), and
above all Jivan-mukta (freed in this life), whose actions are no longer good or bad, but proceed from his
freed nature.

Let us see what Nietzsche himself has to say of the Superman. “Upward goeth our course onward
from genera to super-genera. But a horror to me is the degenerating sense, which saith ‘All for myself.’” Is
that the doctrine of selfishness? As well accuse the Upanishad, where it declares that all things are dear
to us for the sake of the Self. For the monist there is no true distinction of selfish and unselfish, for all
interests are identical. Self-realisation is perfect service, and our supreme and only duty is to become
what we are (That art thou). This is idealistic individualism, and this doctrine of inner harmony is valid
on all planes, for we are not saved by what we do, only by what we are. “Ye constrain,” he says, “all
things to flow towards you and into you, so that they shall flow back again out of your fountain as the
gifts of your love. Verily, an appropriator of all values must such a bestowing love become: but healthy
and holy call I this selfishness . . . But another selfishness there is, an all-too-poor and hungry kind,
which would always steal—with the eye of the thief it looketh upon all that is lustrous: with the craving
of hunger it measureth him who hath abundance: and ever doth it prowl round the table of bestowers.” It
is the author of a supposed apotheosis of the “Blonde Beast,” who exclaims: “Better to perish than to fear
and hate: far better to perish than to be feared and hated!”

Nietzsche has certainly a contempt for pity—that is, for sentimentalizing over one’s own sufferings
or those of others. Naturally, life is hard: for the higher man it should be ever harder by choice. “My
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suffering and my fellow-suffering—what matter about them.""Ye tell me ‘Life is hard to bear.’ But for what purpose should ye have your pride in the morning and your resignation in the evening?" This is certainly different from the “greatest happiness of the greatest number,” which Western democracies have made their aim.

It is hardly worth while to refer to those who bracket our poet-philosopher and mystic with the Trietschkes and Crambs, and would make him one of the prime instigators of a “Euro-Nietzschean” war. It would be easy to show by quotation how he scorned alike the mediocrity of Germany and England, and how he regarded France as “still the seat of the most intelligent and refined culture of Europe,” and contrasted the French esprit with “our German infirmity of taste.” Better than this, however, will be to show how well he understood the fundamental unity of Europe—a unity of suffering now, but then as now a unity of movement, by the side of which the present hatreds assume the proportions of a mere episode—and how little he could ever have associated patriotism with greatness:

“Owing,” he says, “to the morbid estrangement which the nationality-craze has induced and still induces amongst the nations of Europe, owing also to the short-sighted and hasty-handed politicians who with the help of this craze, are at present in power, and do not suspect to what extent the disintegrating policy they pursue must necessarily be only an interlude policy—owing to all this, and much more that is altogether unmentionable at present, the most unmistakable signs that Europe wishes to be one, are now overlooked, or arbitrarily and falsely misinterpreted. With all the more profound and large-minded men of this century, the real general tendency of the mysterious labor of their souls was to prepare the way for that new synthesis and tentatively to anticipate the European of the future; only in their simulations, or in their weaker moments, in old age, perhaps did they belong to the ‘fatherlands’—they only rested from themselves when they became ‘patriots.’” And what may be said to prove the truth of this sense of European unity, which even ten years ago might have seemed a too brilliant generalization, is the fact that we see now, that not only Europe, but the whole world, and in precisely the same way, through the mysterious labors of great men, has long striven to be one, and is now, perhaps for the first time in history, within a measurable distance of realizing its unconscious purpose.

The “Will to Power” has nothing to do with tyranny—it is opposed alike to the tyranny of the autocrat and the tyranny of the majority. The Will to Power asserts that our life is not to be swayed by motives of pleasure or pain, the “pairs of opposites,” but is to be directed towards its goal, and that goal is the freedom and spontaneity of the Jivan-mukta. And this is beyond good and evil. This also set out in the Bhagavad Gita: the hero must be superior to pity (ashocyanavashocastvam); resolute for the fray, but unattached to the result, for, as Whitman expresses it, “battles are lost in the same spirit in which they are won.” If he be wounded, he will urge his comrades onward, rather than ask them to delay to condole with him: and he will not insult them by supposing that they in their turn would do otherwise. “Let your love be stronger than your pity”: but that is not self-love, it is not even neighbor-love or patriotism—"Higher than love to your neighbor is love to the furthest and future ones; higher still than love to men is love to things and phantoms. ‘Myself do I offer unto my love, and my neighbor as myself’—such is the language of all creators.” “Ah! that ye understood my word,” he says: “do ever what ye will—but first be such as can will. He who cannot command himself shall obey.” This is infinitely remote from the doctrines of “getting our own way” or “doing what we like”—“a horror to us,” as he says, “is the degenerating sense, which saith ‘All for myself.’”

The teaching of Nietzsche is a pure nishkama dharma: “Do I then strive after happiness? I strive after my work!” and “All those modes of thinking,” he says, “which measure the worth of things according to pleasure and pain, are plausible modes of thought and naivetés, which everyone conscious of creative powers and an artist’s conscience will look down upon with scorn.” For the Superman as we should say, is not swayed by the pairs of opposites. ‘Do what ye will’: this doctrine is neither egotistic nor altruistic. Not egotistic, for to yield to all the promptings of the senses, to be the slave of caprice, is
to be moulded by our environment, and the very reverse of far-willing: it is precisely himself the
Superman may not spare. It is not altruistic, for where there is naught external to myself, there can be no
altruism. The highest duty is that of self-realization. “Physician, heal thyself,” exclaims Nietzsche: “then
wilt thou also heal thy patient. Let it be his best cure to see with his eyes him who maketh himself
whole.” This is nothing but the old doctrine of Chuang Tzu: “The sages of old first got Tao for
themselves, and then got it for others. Before you possess this yourself what leisure have you to attend to
the doings of wicked men? Cherish and preserve your own self, and all the rest will prosper of itself.” It
reminds us also of Jesus: “First cast out the mote from thine own eye.”

The leaders of humanity have never been such as have acted from a sense of duty, in the ordinary
sense of the word. Duty is but a means of playing safe for those who lack the Bestowing Virtue. The
activity of genius is not an obedience to rules, but dedication of life to what is commanded from within,
even though it should appear to all others as evil.

Was Jesus humble, or did He
Give any proofs of humility?
When but a child He ran away,
And left His parents in dismay:
These were the words upon His tongue
“I am doing My Father’s business.”

What constitutes the virtue of any action is the complete coordination of the actor. We should act
according our own nature: and when that nature has developed to its fullest stature, then what is divine
attains complete manifestation. It is with preoccupations such as this that Nietzsche exclaims with such
profound conviction:

“Thy might become weary of saying: ‘that an action is good because it is unselfish.’ Ah! my
friends! That your very self be in your action, as the mother is in the child: let that be your formula of
virtue.”

This is the very prayer of Socrates, “and may the outward and inward man be at one”—all else is
hypocrisy. The inferior man regulates his life by externals: inasmuch as he is constrained by desire for
long life, reputation, riches, rank or offspring, he is not free. The superior man is of another sort, and of
him it may be said, with Chuang Tzu, “that they live in accordance with their own nature. In the whole
world they have no equal. They regulate their life by inward things.”

“What are not the powerful doing?” says the Prema Sagara. “Who knows their course of action?
They, indeed, do nothing for themselves; but to those that do them honor and seek their aid, they grant
their prayers. Such is their path, that they appear united to all; but upon reflection thou shalt perceive that
they stand aloof from all, as the lotus leaf from water.” “The man of perfect virtue” (Superman), says
Chuang Tzu again, “in repose has no thoughts, in action no anxiety. He recognizes no right, nor wrong,
nor good, nor bad. Within the Four Seas, when all profit—that is his pleasure; when all share—that is his
repose. Men cling to him as children who have lost their mothers; they rally round him as wayfarers who
have missed their road.” For his is the Bestowing Virtue.

According to Ashvaghosha, too, “it is said that we attain to Nirvana and that various spontaneous
displays of activity are accomplished.” The Bodhisattvas do not consider
the ethics of their behavior: “they have attained to spontaneity of action, because their discipline is in
unison with the wisdom and activity of all Tathagatas.” “Jesus was all virtue, because he acted from
impulse and not from rules.’ When Nietzsche says that the Superman is the meaning of the earth he
means what we mean when we speak of a Bodhisattva, or of a Jivan-mukta. This type which represents
the highest attainment and purpose of humanity is the most difficult thing for self-assertive minds to
grasp. A being “beyond good and evil,” a law unto himself. “How wicked!” exclaims the ordinary man: “for even I feel it my duty to conform to the rules of morality and to restrain my selfish desires.”

Thus we shall never comprehend the selfishness which Nietzsche and other mystics praise if we interpret it according to the lights of those who believe that all actions should be praiseworthy. The pattern of man’s behavior is not to be found in any code, but in the principles of the universe, which is continually revealing to us its own nature. Consider the lilies . . .

There exists a voluptuousness that is not sensuality, a passion for power that is not self-assertion, and a selfishness that is more generous than any altruism. These are distinctions which Nietzsche himself is careful to insist upon, and only willful misunderstanding ignores it. It is precisely of the great man who fails that he says: “Once they thought of becoming heroes; but sensualists are they now.” “Art thou the victorious one (jina),” he says “the self-conqueror, the ruler of thy passions the master of thy virtues? Thus do I ask thee. Or does the animal speak in thy wish, and necessity? or isolation? or discord in thee?” “What I warn people against . . . confounding debauchery, and the principle ‘laisser aller’ (i.e. ‘never mind’) with the Will to Power the latter is the exact reverse of the former.” “And verily, it is no commandment for to-day and tomorrow to learn to love oneself. Rather is it of all arts the finest, subtlest, last and patientest.” “True and ideal selfishness consists in always watching over and restraining the soul, so that our productiveness may come to a beautiful termination.”

So far, then, from a doctrine of self-indulgence, it is a form of asceticism or ardor (tapas) which Nietzsche would have us impose on ourselves, if we are strong enough. This was precisely the view of Manu when he established a severe rule of life for the Brahman, and one far easier for the Shudra. And understanding this, Nietzsche has praised the institution of caste, for he thought it right that life should grow colder towards the summit. As the Markandeya Purana pronounces, a Brahman should do nothing for the sake of enjoyment.

Those who have comprehended the decline and fall of Western civilization will recognize in Nietzsche the reawakening of the conscience of Europe.