ICS 351: Today's plan

- routing protocol comparison
- encapsulation
- network dynamics
- multicasting in general
- IP multicasting
- IGMP
- PIM
Comparison of Routing Protocols

- IGPs vs. EGPs: within an Autonomous System (RIP, OSPF), or among different Autonomous Systems (BGP)
- routing algorithms:
  - distance vector: RIP (also AODV for wireless networks)
  - path vector (modified distance vector): BGP
  - link-state: OSPF (also OLSR for wireless networks)
- link-state distributes the state of the router's links
- distance-vector distributes the routing table distance to each destination -- if that is optimal, the sender is used as the direction (vector) in which to send the data
- OSPF and RIP are optimal, BGP finds acceptable routes
Protocol Encapsulation

- protocols are layered
- packets in one layer are encapsulated within packets in the lower layer
- for example, a UDP header is added to a RIP packet, to support directing to a specific UDP port number
- this UDP packet gets an IP header which includes the destination address (224.0.0.9) and the protocol number 17 (UDP)
- the IP packet receives an Ethernet header (and a CRC "trailer") with the local ethernet address as source, and ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:ff as destination
- in this case, we say that RIP is layered over UDP, UDP over IP, and IP over Ethernet
- what protocol is OSPF layered over? BGP? Ping? Telnet?
Network dynamics

- a wired network can be modeled of as a graph
- a router or host is a node in the graph
- the links between them are the edges of the graph
- however, this model does not quite capture all the interesting features, for example, a local area network may connect many computers
- when adding a router or a link, all the routing protocols take some time before all the routing nodes recognize the new resource
- what happens during this time? In RIP, in OSPF, in BGP?
- when removing a router or a link, again the routing tables are inconsistent for some time
- what happens during this time?
Multicasting

- if a single sender needs to reach a group of receivers
- or if multiple senders need to reach a group of receivers
- or if multiple computers need to reach each other
- in general, a sender should send each packet (stream) exactly once
- intermediate systems (routers) should duplicate the packet (stream) once for each outgoing link
- overall, this forms a multicast tree
Multicast Trees

- The multicast tree can be built starting from the sender towards the receivers (leaves), along the route that unicast packets would take, or
- the tree can be built by each receiver along the reverse path towards the sender, until a router that already carries the multicast data is found. This is reverse path forwarding
- for multicast with multiple senders, the tree can be seen as a spanning tree with no real root, and a standard flooding algorithm can be used to distribute the data throughout this overlay network
- alternately, the tree can have a designated root, a rendezvous point or RP
Multicast Trees
IP Multicast Addresses

- IP multicast addresses are in class D, beginning with 224 through 239
- 1. the first byte for class A addresses is 0 through 127
- 2. the first byte for class B addresses is 128 through 191
- 3. the first byte for class C addresses is 192 through 223
- 4. the first byte for class D addresses is 224 through 239
- 5. the first byte for class E addresses is 240 through 255
- for example, 224.0.0.9 for RIP packets, 224.0.0.5 for OSPF packets
IP Multicasting

- IGMP manages group membership in multicast groups within local networks (MLD does the same on IPv6 networks)
- PIM (or MOSPF) are the equivalent of routing protocols for multicast, providing multicast routing when the multicast router is not local
IGMP

- Internet Group Management Protocol version 3
- used to communicate between a multicast router and local multicast hosts
- the router needs to know which hosts require which multicast stream(s), so as to only forward streams that are needed
- a host requesting a stream from its router results in the router recording this information
- this request expires if not refreshed often enough: soft state in the router
- messages sent over IP (protocol number 2) with TTL 1
- IGMP routers send Membership Queries, IGMP hosts send Membership Reports
- RFC 3376
PIM

• Protocol Independent Multicast is protocol-independent in the sense that it relies on a not-otherwise defined routing protocol to find routes
  • PIM dense mode (PIM-DM), RFC 3973
  • in dense mode, multicast data is sent to all routers except those that send prune messages
  • dense mode is only used within an autonomous system (with MSDP used to allow multicast among autonomous systems)
• PIM sparse mode (PIM-SM), RFC 4601
  • in sparse mode, multicast data is broadcast over a tree rooted at a designated router called the **Rendezvous Point (RP)**
  • also PIM Source-Specific Multicast (PIM-SSM) and Bidirectional PIM (BIDIR-PIM), a variant of PIM-SM
IGMP

• Internet Group Management Protocol version 3
• used to communicate between a multicast router and local multicast hosts
• the router needs to know which hosts require which multicast stream(s), so as to only forward streams that are needed
• a host requesting a stream from its router results in the router recording this information
• this request expires if not refreshed often enough: soft state in the router
• messages sent over IP (protocol number 2) with TTL 1
• IGMP routers send Membership Queries, IGMP hosts send Membership Reports
• RFC 3376
MBone

- for a while, there was a generic multicasting infrastructure called the MBONE (multicast backbone)
- the 6-bone was a similar infrastructure for IPv6 traffic
- the MBONE was a collection of multicast routers willing to carry multicast traffic and to run multicast routing protocols
- a host that was not directly connected to a multicast router could register with a remote MBONE router and exchange packets using unicast IP