Adverse Biophysical Effects of Hydroxyapatite Nanoparticles on Natural Pulmonary Surfactant
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Due to their small size, inhaled nanoparticles (NPs) can have a high deposition rate in the alveolar region of the lung.1–3 Because of their large surface area-to-volume ratio and hence a high inflammatory potential per given mass, inhaled NPs can induce pulmonary inflammation, oxidative stress, lipid peroxidation, fibrotic reaction, and adverse immune response in the respiratory system.4–8

Once reaching the alveolar region, inhaled NPs must first interact with the pulmonary surfactant lining layer of alveoli.9,10 Pulmonary surfactant (PS) is a phospholipid–protein mixture synthesized by type II alveolar epithelial cells. It covers the entire internal surface of the respiratory tract as a thin film and plays a crucial role in surface tension reduction and host defense.11,12 By reducing alveolar surface tension to nearly zero, the PS film stabilizes alveoli against collapse, thereby maintaining a large surface area for gas exchange.

Interaction with the PS film determines the subsequent clearance, retention, and translocation of inhaled NPs and hence their potential toxicity, such as interference with PS metabolism, interaction with lung epithelial cells, alveolar macrophages and neutrophils, and translocation to the bloodstream and other organs.9,10 To date, however, little is known how NPs interact with PS, and whether or not NPs have adverse effects on the biophysical function (i.e., surface tension reduction) of PS.13–19

In this paper, we used a variety of biophysicochemical characterization techniques to study the molecular mechanism of in vitro interaction between a natural PS, Infasurf, and engineered hydroxyapatite NPs (HA-NPs) of spherical shape with the diameter of ∼90 nm. Infasurf (ONY, Inc., Amherst, NY) is a modified natural surfactant prepared from lung lavage of newborn calves by centrifugation and organic solvent extraction. Infasurf contains all hydrophobic components of natural surfactant, including ∼90 wt % phospholipids, 5–8% neutral lipids (mainly cholesterol), and ∼2% hydrophobic surfactant proteins (SP-B and SP-C).20,21 Hydroxyapatite is the main mineral component of bones and teeth. Due to its ideal biocompatibility and biodegradability, nanoparticles made of hydroxyapatite have been widely used for biomedical applications, such as orthopedic implants and drug delivery.22–24 We have also studied the effect of HA-NPs on viability of human bronchial epithelial BEAS-2B cells. The aim of this study is to explore the potential adverse biophysical effect of HA-NPs on natural PS, while comparing with their potential cytotoxicity to bronchial epithelial cells.

**ABSTRACT**

Inhaled nanoparticles (NPs) must first interact with the pulmonary surfactant (PS) lining layer that covers the entire internal surface of the respiratory tract and plays an important role in surface tension reduction and host defense. Interactions with the PS film determine the subsequent clearance, retention, and translocation of the inhaled NPs and hence their potential toxicity. To date, little is known how NPs interact with PS, and whether or not NPs have adverse effects on the biophysical function of PS. We found a time-dependent toxicological effect of hydroxyapatite NPs (HA-NPs) on a natural PS, Infasurf, and the time scale of surfactant inhibition after particle exposure was comparable to the turnover period of surfactant metabolism. Using a variety of in vitro biophysicochemical characterization techniques, we have determined the inhibition mechanism to be due to protein adsorption onto the HA-NPs. Consequently, depletion of surfactant proteins from phospholipid vesicles caused conversion of original large vesicles into much smaller vesicles with poor surface activity. These small vesicles, in turn, inhibited biophysical function of surfactant films after adsorption at the air–water interface. Cytotoxicity study found that the HA-NPs at the studied concentration were benign to human bronchial epithelial cells, thereby highlighting the importance of evaluating biophysical effect of NPs on PS. The NP–PS interaction mechanism revealed by this study may not only provide new insight into the toxicological study of nanoparticles but also shed light on the feasibility of NP-based pulmonary drug delivery.
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Results and Discussion

Effects on Surface Activity and Lateral Film Structure. We first investigated the effect of HA-NPs on surface activity and interfacial structure of Infasurf films using Langmuir balance and atomic force microscopy (AFM). Figure 1a shows the time-dependent compression isotherms of Infasurf before and after exposure to 1 wt % HA-NPs. The 1 wt % HA-NPs are equivalent to a NP concentration of 50 μg/mL, corresponding to the lower end of the NP concentration tested in previous studies.14-16 It is found that there was a significant time-dependent deterioration of Infasurf after exposure to the HA-NPs. Right after exposure (0 h), there was no sign of surfactant inhibition. However, after 1 h, the compressibility of Infasurf films significantly increased, that is, more area reduction required to increasing surface pressure \( \pi \) (equal to decreasing surface tension), thus indicating surfactant inhibition. Such inhibition effects progressed with time and somewhat reached equilibrium after 7 h. Further increasing incubation time (up to 5 days) did not significantly vary the compression isotherms. Control experiments with pure Infasurf showed that this surfactant preparation was very stable in air (see Supporting Information).

Hence, the surfactant inhibition shown in Figure 1a can only be due to exposure to the HA-NPs. Note that the estimated turnover period of PS in vivo ranges from 4 to 11 h.11,12 Therefore, the kinetic inhibitory effect of 1% HA-NPs on Infasurf can be indeed physiologically relevant.

Panels b–e of Figure 1 compare the lateral structure of Infasurf with and without HA-NPs at two characteristic \( \pi \), 40 and 50 mN/m, respectively. (See Supporting Information for comparison at additional \( \pi \).) First of all, neither individual NPs nor particle agglomerations were detected at the interface by AFM at any \( \pi \). This AFM observation indicates that the HA-NPs readily penetrated the PS film at the air–water interface, consistent with the hydrophilicity of these NPs. Although penetrating the interfacial monolayer, the HA-NPs altered both the compression isotherms and lateral structure of the Infasurf films. Compared to pure Infasurf, AFM detected a substantially smaller amount of lipid domains with much smaller sizes at the same \( \pi \) of 40 mN/m (Figure 1b vs 1d). Moreover, we found that the HA-NPs altered the monolayer-to-multilayer transition of Infasurf, as indicated by variation of multilayer structure at 50 mN/m (Figure 1c vs 1e). Without the NPs, Infasurf films at the physiologically relevant \( \pi \) (i.e., 50 mN/m and higher)11,125 assume a conformation of uniformly distributed fluid phospholipid multilayers with embedded condensed phospholipid domains (as “holes”) at the interfacial monolayer.21,25 This matrix structure of multilayers forms a surfactant reservoir that stores PS upon film compression and releases PS upon subsequent film expansion, thus increasing film stability.11,12 However, after exposure to HA-NPs, the matrix structure disappeared and the multilayers were formed as isolated crystalline folds along the direction of lateral compression, thus causing surfactant inhibition.

Effects on Vesicle Size and Ultrastructure. Since the HA-NPs readily penetrated the PS film, we ruled out the possibility that direct PS–NP interaction at the air–water interface is responsible for the inhibition mechanism of Infasurf. We then scrutinized the effect of HA-NPs on the phospholipid vesicles in the bulk phase.
using particle sizer and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Figure 2a shows the time-dependent measurement of vesicle size of Infasurf with and without exposure to 1% HA-NPs. It is found that pure Infasurf featured a large vesicle size about 15 μm, and the size did not significantly vary for 3 h. In contrast, after exposure to HA-NPs, Infasurf showed a significant time-dependent decrease in vesicle size. Right after exposure to the NPs (i.e., at 0 h), there was no significant difference in the vesicle size compared to pure Infasurf. However, after 1 h exposure, the vesicle size decreased dramatically from ∼15 to ∼2 μm. It continuously dropped to ∼0.5 μm after 3 h exposure.

In addition to the vesicle size measurement, we have studied the ultrastructure of Infasurf in the bulk phase with and without exposure to the HA-NPs. As shown in Figure 2b, pure Infasurf showed a large multilamellar vesicular structure with a size comparable to that measured by the particle sizer. (Note that the fractures on the vesicle surface are due to rupture during the fixation procedure of TEM.) However, after exposure to the HA-NPs (Figure 2c) for 3 h, the large multilamellar vesicles were dissociated into much smaller unilamellar vesicles (Figure 2d). The surfactant ultrastructure detected by TEM is consistent with the particle sizer measurements. A high-resolution TEM image (Figure 2e) shows clearly that the HA-NPs bound to the surfactant vesicles and likely penetrated into the vesicles.

Figure 2. Effect of 1 wt % hydroxyapatite nanoparticles (HA-NPs) on lipid vesicle size (a) and ultrastructure (b–e) of Infasurf as a function of exposure time to the particles. (b,c) TEM images of pure Infasurf and bare HA-NPs, respectively. (d,e) TEM images of Infasurf exposed to HA-NPs for 3 h. *P < 0.05 for differences between Infasurf with and without HA-NPs.

The positive zeta-potential of surfactant vesicles is likely due to the two surfactant proteins in Infasurf, that is, SP-B and SP-C, both carrying net positive charges.28,29 Hence, these zeta-potential data may indicate that the interaction between HA-NPs and Infasurf (or, more precisely, surfactant proteins in Infasurf) is electrostatic in nature.

It is known that morphological transformation from large aggregates (LAs) to small aggregates (SAs) is a significant indication of surfactant degradation.30,31 While the LAs represent the surface-active components of PS, the SAs show slow adsorption and inability of reaching low surface tensions.30,31 The poor surface activity of SAs is mainly due to deficiency and/or dysfunction of surfactant proteins, especially SP-B and SP-C, which are transmembrane proteins that may induce vesicle fusion to promote formation of large and surface-active vesicles.30,31 Therefore, the significant reduction of vesicle size after exposure to HA-NPs led us to the hypothesis of protein adsorption to the HA-NP surface, thus depleting surfactant proteins from these vesicles.

**Effects on Surfactant Protein Adsorption.** We tested this hypothesis by quantifying surfactant proteins using BCA assays. We first centrifuged the samples and measured protein concentrations in both the pellets and the supernatant. The centrifugation rate was carefully set to be 25 000g (for 15 min), which spun down the vesicles but not free NPs. Because the HA-NPs bound to surfactant vesicles (Figure 2e), we sonicated some samples vigorously to separate these NPs from surfactant vesicles. Since the procedure of sonication tends to reduce the size of surfactant vesicles and hence affects protein contents after centrifugation, we used pure Infasurf as controls for these sonicated samples. Figure 3 shows protein concentrations in Infasurf with and without 1% HA-NPs and with and without the sonication procedure. First of all, it is found
that the sum of protein concentrations in the pellets and the supernatant for all four measurement groups was approximately the same and equal to $\sim 800 \mu g/mL$. This value is in good agreement with the literature value of surfactant protein (SP-B and SP-C) concentration in Infasurf,$^{20}$ thus proving the accuracy of our BCA assays. Second, without sonication, the protein concentration in the supernatant of the mixture of Infasurf and HA-NPs was very low and lower than that in the pure Infasurf. However, after sonication, the protein concentration in the supernatant of the NP-containing mixture significantly increased 6-fold compared to the nonsonation group and became higher than that in the pure Infasurf with sonication. Although the procedure of sonication increased the protein concentration in the supernatant of pure Infasurf by approximately 50% due to reduced surfactant vesicle size, sonication alone was not sufficient to explain the 6-fold increase of protein in the NP-containing mixture. Therefore, these data indicate that the HA-NPs released to the supernatant by sonication carried a significant amount of adsorbed proteins. This result is not unexpected as it is well-known that HA has high affinity for proteins, and consequently, HA-NPs have been well studied as a vehicle for protein delivery.$^{24,32,33}$ It should be noted that even with sonication there was only one-eighth of total surfactant proteins in the supernatant. This is likely due to the fact that the sonication procedure only released a portion of HA-NPs, and there was a significant amount of protein-coated NPs still associated with the surfactant vesicles in the pellets.

**Effects on Human Bronchial Epithelial Cell Viability.** We further tested the potential cytotoxicity of the HA-NPs on human bronchial epithelial BEAS-2B cells using two different assays, that is, the PicoGreen and WST-8. After 24 h exposure to HA-NPs of various concentrations (30, 100, and $300 \mu g/mL$), the amount of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA) and metabolic activity were measured with PicoGreen and WST-8 assays, respectively. Results from both assays (Figure 4) show that HA-NPs up to $100 \mu g/mL$ did not elicit any significant toxicological effects on BEAS-2B cells, consistent with our previous finding.$^{27}$ With increasing HA-NP concentration to $300 \mu g/mL$, cell viability measured by both assays decreased (73% by PicoGreen and 88% by WST-8), indicating a slight increase of cytotoxicity at this high particle concentration. The 1 wt % HA-NPs used in our biophysical studies correspond to a NP concentration of $50 \mu g/mL$. These cell viability measurements therefore suggest that HA-NPs at the studied concentration are noncytotoxic to bronchial epithelial cells, although they do have significant adverse biophysical effects on pulmonary surfactant.

A variety of cells participate in the pulmonary immune response, and the relative contribution of difference cells varies with different diseases and the degree of inflammation.$^{34}$ It has been reported that inhaled NPs may directly cause acute lung injury (ALI) in vivo$^{35}$ or worsen the progression of ALI in animal models.$^{36}$ In ALI, the number of cells isolated by lavage increased dramatically with a majority of cells being neutrophils, which are the earliest immune cells recruited to the site of injury or inflammation.$^{37}$ Quintero and Wright found that in ALI the combination of activated macrophages and large numbers of neutrophils recruited to the lung could lead to 7 times more phospholipid clearance than the normal lung.$^{38}$ This increased clearance of surfactant phospholipids could, over time, lead to smaller pools of functional surfactant and hence impaired biophysical properties in reducing the alveolar surface tension.$^{38}$ Together with potential surfactant inactivation due to alveolar influx of serum proteins (as a consequence of increased permeability of the alveolocapillary barrier$^{17}$) and direct adverse biophysical effects caused by engineered NPs observed in the present study, all of these surfactant-
related mechanisms may contribute to the pathophysiology of ALI due to NP inhalation.

In conclusion, we found that HA-NPs (~90 nm) at a low concentration (1 wt %), though benign to human bronchial epithelial BEAS-2B cells, were able to inhibit the biophysical function of a natural PS, Infasurf. Surfactant inhibition due to the HA-NPs was kinetically dependent, and the time scale was comparable to the turnover period of surfactant metabolism. Given the importance of PS in maintaining normal respiratory mechanics, this study suggests that the conventional cytotoxicological test alone may not be sufficient in evaluating the toxicological effect of inhaled NPs. The inhibition mechanism was found not to be due to direct particle interference at the air—water interface, as previously speculated. Rather, the surfactant inhibition was due to adsorption of surfactant proteins onto the HA-NPs. Consequently, depletion of proteins from surfactant vesicles caused conversion of original large phospholipid vesicles into much smaller vesicles. These small vesicles, in turn, inhibited surface activity of surfactant films after adsorption. The NP–PS interaction mechanism revealed by this study may not only provide new insight into the toxicological study of nanoparticles but also shed light on the feasibility of NP-based pulmonary drug delivery.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Pulmonary Surfactant. Infasurf (ONY Inc., Amherst, NY) is a clinical pulmonary surfactant (PS) and is commercially available. Infasurf was stored frozen in sterilized vials with an initial concentration of 35 mg total phospholipids per milliliter. On the day of experiment, it was diluted to 5 mg/mL by a saline buffer of 0.9% NaCl, 1.5 mM CaCl₂, and 2.5 mM HEPES, adjusted to pH 7.0. The water used was Milli-Q ultrapure water (Millipore, Billerica, MA) which has a resistivity higher than 18 MΩ·cm at room temperature.

Nanoparticles. Hydroxypatite nanoparticles (HA-NPs) were synthesized by a technique reported previously. In summary, calcium pantothenate (C₁₇H₂₃CaN₂O₁₄·H₂O) (0.01 mol) was dissolved in 10 g of water solution, and the pH of this solution was adjusted to pH 1 using droplets of concentrated hydrochloric acid (HCl). Potassium phosphate (K₂HPO₄·3H₂O) solution (0.006 mol) was then slowly added to this acidic solution with stirring. The final solution was slowly adjusted to an alkaline pH (pH = 12) using droplets of concentrated NaOH solution and further stirred for 24 h. Finally, the reaction mixture was centrifuged and washed repeatedly five times using deionized water. The HA-NPs were subsequently characterized for particle size and shape, hydrodynamic size, and specific surface area.

To evaluate particle size and shape, the dried powders of HA-NPs were observed under TEM (JEOL 10 TEM; JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) at an accelerating voltage of 200 kV with a LaB₆ cathode. Samples were prepared by mixing a small quantity of HA-NPs in ethanol with 10 min of ultrasonic treatment, before dropping onto carbon-coated copper grids. Particle size was measured (n = 200) using the ImageJ software and was found to be ~67.8 nm (±2.25 nm). The colloidal size measured from DLS was ~93 nm using a Zeta Nanosizer (Malvern Co., UK). Specific surface area was determined from the Brunauer – Emmett – Teller (BET) method using the Micromeritics surface area analyzer (ASAP 2000, USA) and was found to be ~32.2 m²/g. This BET surface area is in good agreement with our previous publication, in which no surfactant was used in synthesizing the HA-NPs, but less than the high surface area nanoparticles synthesized with surfactant.

Langmuir–Blodgett Trough. Spread, compression, and Langmuir–Blodgett (LB) transfer of surfactant films were conducted with a LB trough (KSV Nima, Coventry, UK) at room temperature (20 ± 1 °C). This trough is equipped with two Delrin barriers to minimize film leakage. The trough contains a ~160 mL subphase and has an operational surface area of 266 cm².

Surfactant monolayers were prepared by spreading Infasurf on ultrapure water. Our previous studies showed that spreading on buffer instead of pure water caused no detectable differences in the compression isotherms and lateral structures of the surfactant films. Films were spread by depositing tiny droplets of samples uniformly throughout the air–water interface using a 10 μL microsyringe. The initial amount of spreading, with or without 1% HA-NPs, was controlled to be ~20 μL. After spreading, all films were left undisturbed for 10 min to allow equilibrium.

The spread films were compressed at a rate of 20 cm²/min, namely, 0.1% initial surface area per second. During compression, surface pressure–area (π–A) isotherms were recorded. The film surface area (cm²) rather than the absolute molecular area (Å²/molecule) was used to express the compression isotherms. This is due to the difficulty of controlling the exact amount of surfactant molecules at the air–water interface since the films were spread from aqueous media.

For atomic force microscopy imaging, Infasurf films at the air–water interface were transferred to the surface of freshly cleaved mica using the LB technique. Surfactant films at controlled constant π were deposited onto the mica surface by elevating the previously submerged mica vertically through the air–water interface at a rate of 1 mm/min. Deposited films were scanned by AFM within 2 h of deposition. Aging of LB films in air over this time period is considered to have negligible effects on film structure.

AFM. Topographical images were obtained using an Innova AFM (Bruker, Santa Barbara, CA). Samples were scanned in air. Each sample was characterized at multiple locations with various scan areas to ensure the detection of representative structures. Both contact mode and tapping mode were used. The different scan modes gave equivalent results. A silicon nitride cantilever with a spring constant of 0.12 N/m and a nominal tip radius of 2 nm was used in tapping mode. Scan parameters, such as the deflection set point, gains, and scan rate, were optimized with the lowest force and highest gains possible to minimize tip artifact. Analysis of the AFM images was performed using Nanoscope software (version 7.30).

TEM. Ultrastructure of surfactant vesicles, with and without 1 wt % HA-NPs, was studied using a Zeiss LEO 912 energy-filtering TEM operated at 100 kV. Samples were prepared with the following fixation procedure. Sample pellets were fixed at room temperature with 2.5% glutaraldehyde in 0.1 M sodium cacodylate buffer with 2 mM CaCl₂ at pH 7.4, washed twice with 0.1 M cacodylate buffer, and then postfixed with 1% osmium tetroxide in the same buffer. After dehydration in a graded acetone series, samples were infiltrated and embedded in LX-112 epoxy resin. For TEM observation, sections of ~60 nm thick were obtained by ultramicrotomy with a diamond knife.

Particle Size and Zeta-Potential Analyzer. Lipid vesicle size of Infasurf, with and without 1 wt % HA-NPs, was determined using a Brookhaven 90Plus/BIA-MAS particle size (Holtsville, NY). Infasurf was diluted to 0.1 mg/mL using a saline buffer, and the vesicle size was measured every 1 h for 3 h. Zeta-potentials of HA-NPs, pure Infasurf, and Infasurf mixed with 1% HA-NPs were measured using a Brookhaven Zetaplus zeta-potential analyzer.


