

# MATH 431 - REAL ANALYSIS I

## SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK DUE OCTOBER 22

**Question 1.** Sequences are frequently given *recursively*, where a beginning term  $x_1$  is specified and subsequent terms can be found using a recursive relation. One such example is the sequence defined by  $x_1 = 1$  and

$$x_{n+1} = \sqrt{2 + x_n}.$$

- (a) For  $n = 1, 2, \dots, 10$ , compute  $x_n$ . A calculator may be helpful.
- (b) Show that  $x_n$  is a monotone increasing sequence. A proof by induction might be easiest.
- (c) Show that the sequence  $x_n$  is bounded below by 1 and above by 2.
- (d) Use (b) and (c) to conclude that  $x_n$  converges.

**Solution 1.**

(a)

$n$	$x_n$
1	1
2	1.41421
3	1.84776
4	1.96157
5	1.99036
6	1.99759
7	1.99939
8	1.99985
9	1.99996
10	1.99999

- (b) Let  $A(n)$  be the statement that  $x_{n+1} \geq x_n$ . We will show that  $A(n)$  is true for all  $n \geq 1$ . First, note that  $x_1 = 1 < \sqrt{3} = x_2$ . Thus,  $A(1)$  holds true. Now, assume that  $A(k)$  is true. We will show that  $A(k+1)$  is true. Thus, we will show that  $x_{k+2} \geq x_{k+1}$ . Notice that since  $A(k)$  is true, then  $x_{k+1} \geq x_k$ . Thus,  $2 + x_{k+1} \geq 2 + x_k$ . Thus,

$$x_{k+2} = \sqrt{2 + x_{k+1}} \geq \sqrt{2 + x_k} = x_{k+1}.$$

Thus,  $A(k+1)$  is true. So, by induction,  $A(n)$  is true for all  $n$ .

- (c) First, note that since  $x_1 = 1$  and that  $x_n$  is monotone increasing, then  $x_n \geq 1$  for all  $n$ . For the other bound, we will use induction on the statement  $A(n)$  given by  $x_n \leq 2$  for  $n \geq 1$ . For the base case, notice that  $x_1 = 1 < 2$ . Thus,  $A(1)$  holds. Now, assume that  $A(k)$  holds. We will show that  $A(k+1)$  is true. Since  $A(k)$  is true, then  $x_k \leq 2$ . Thus,

$$x_{k+1} = \sqrt{2 + x_k} \leq \sqrt{2 + 2} = 2.$$

Thus,  $A(k+1)$  is true. So, by induction,  $x_k$  is bounded above by 2.

- (d) By (b) and (c),  $x_n$  is a bounded, monotone sequence; thus, by a theorem in class, it converges.

**Question 2.** One very important class of sequences are *series*, in which we add up the terms of a given sequence. One such example is the following sequence:

$$S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} = \frac{1}{0!} + \frac{1}{1!} + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!}.$$

- (a) For  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 6$ , compute  $S_n$ . Again, a calculator may be helpful; be sure to use several digits.
- (b) Show that  $S_n$  is monotone increasing.
- (c) Use induction to show that for all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $n! \geq 2^{n-1}$ .
- (d) Use (c) to show that

$$S_n \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}.$$

- (e) Use well-known facts from Calculus II and the geometric series to show that

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} < 3.$$

- (f) Use (b), (d), and (e) to conclude that  $S_n$  converges.

### Solution 2.

- (a)

$n$	$S_n$
0	1
1	2
2	2.5
3	2.66666
4	2.708333
5	2.7166666
6	2.7180555

- (b) Since  $1/n! > 0$ , then

$$S_{n+1} = S_n + \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \geq S_n.$$

Thus,  $S_n$  is monotone increasing.

- (c) Let  $A(n)$  be the statement that  $n! \geq 2^{n-1}$ . We will show that  $A(n)$  is true for all  $n \geq 1$ . For the base case, notice that  $1! = 1 \geq 1 = 2^{1-1}$ . Thus,  $A(1)$  is true. Assume that  $A(k)$  holds. Thus,  $k! \geq 2^{k-1}$ . We will show that  $A(k+1)$  is true by showing that  $(k+1)! \geq 2^k$ . Notice that

$$(k+1)! = (k+1) \cdot k! \geq (k+1) \cdot 2^{k-1}.$$

Since  $k \geq 1$ , then  $k+1 \geq 2$ . So,  $(k+1)2^{k-1} \geq 2 \cdot 2^{k-1} = 2^k$ . Thus,  $A(k+1)$  is true. So,  $n! \geq 2^{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

- (d) Since  $n! \geq 2^{n-1}$ , then we have that  $\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \geq \frac{1}{n!}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Comparing term-by-term, we have that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} \leq \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}.$$

Since  $\frac{1}{0!} = 1$ , we have that

$$S_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \leq 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{k-1}}.$$

(e) From Calculus II, we recognize

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{2^j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j$$

as a geometric series. Thus,

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} < \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} = 2.$$

Thus,

$$1 + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} < 1 + 2 = 3.$$

(f) By (b),  $S_n$  is a monotone sequence. By (d) and (e), we have that  $S_n < 3$  and is thus bounded. Thus, since every bounded monotone sequence converges,  $S_n$  converges.

(g)

**Question 3.** In class, we learned that a sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is convergent if and only if it is Cauchy. We have previously proven using the definition of convergence that the sequence

$$x_n = \frac{1}{n}$$

converges (to 0). Thus, it should also be Cauchy. In this problem, we will prove directly that it is Cauchy.

(a) Let  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Show that

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right| < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}.$$

(b) Use (a) to show that  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$  is a Cauchy sequence. To do so, given an  $\varepsilon > 0$ , find an  $N$  such that for all  $n, m > N$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right| < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} < \varepsilon.$$

**Solution 3.**

(a) We will show this inequality by showing that

$$-\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}.$$

For the second inequality, notice that since  $\frac{-1}{m} < \frac{1}{m}$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}.$$

Similarly, it's clear that  $\frac{-1}{n} < \frac{1}{n}$ , so we get that

$$-\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}.$$

Combining this gives the two inequalities, which is equivalent to

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right| < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m}.$$

- (b) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the Archimedean principle, there exists an  $N$  such that  $N\frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 1$ . Thus,  $\frac{1}{N} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Thus, for all  $n, m > N$ , we have that

$$\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{m} < \frac{1}{N} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Thus, by (a),

$$|x_n - x_m| = \left| \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right| < \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $x_n = \frac{1}{n}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

**Question 4.** Consider the sequence of partial sums given by

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

We will show that  $S_n$  converges by showing it is Cauchy.

- (a) If  $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  with  $m > n$ , show that

$$|S_m - S_n| = \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

- (b) Show that  $\frac{1}{k^2} < \frac{1}{k(k-1)}$  for  $k \geq 2$ .

- (c) Show that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{1}{k(k-1)} = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}.$$

As a hint, think about *telescoping series* from Calculus II.

- (d) Use the above to show that

$$|S_m - S_n| < \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

- (e) Use (d) in a proof to show that  $S_n$  is Cauchy and thus converges.

**Solution 4.**

- (a) Since all the terms in the sum are positive and  $m > n$ , then  $|S_m - S_n| = S_m - S_n$ . The terms in  $S_m - S_n$  are those terms up to  $m$  excluding the first  $n$ . Thus, we have that

$$|S_m - S_n| = \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{1}{k^2}.$$

- (b) Notice that  $k(k-1) = k^2 - k < k^2$ . Since all terms are positive, we can cross-divide to get

$$\frac{1}{k^2} < \frac{1}{k(k-1)}.$$

(c) Notice that

$$\frac{1}{k(k-1)} = \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k-1}.$$

Thus, when adding up the terms in

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{1}{k(k-1)} = \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{1}{k} - \frac{1}{k-1},$$

all cancel except for the term  $\frac{1}{n}$  and  $-\frac{1}{m}$ . Thus,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{1}{k(k-1)} = \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m}.$$

(d) Putting the above together, we have that

$$|S_m - S_n| = \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{1}{k^2} < \sum_{k=n+1}^m \frac{1}{k(k-1)} = \frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{n} < \frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

(e) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By the Archimedean property, there exists an  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that  $N\frac{\varepsilon}{2} > 1$ . Thus,

$$\frac{1}{N} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

**Question 5.** Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with  $a, L, M, k \in \mathbb{R}$ . Furthermore, assume that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = M.$$

Give an  $\varepsilon$ - $\delta$  proof to show the following:

(a)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} k \cdot f(x) = k \cdot L$

(b)  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) + g(x) = L + M$

**Solution 5.**

(a) We will prove this in cases:  $k = 0$  or  $k \neq 0$ .

In the first case, if  $k = 0$ , then we wish to prove that  $k \cdot f(x) = 0$ , the zero function, has limit 0. So, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $\delta = 1$  (or any other positive number, really). Thus, for all  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$ , we have that

$$|k \cdot f(x) - kL| = |0 - 0| = 0 < \varepsilon.$$

Thus,  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} k \cdot f(x) = k \cdot L$ .

Next, assume that  $k \neq 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ , there exists a  $\delta$  such that for all  $x$  satisfying  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$ ,

$$|f(x) - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{|k|}.$$

Thus,  $|k| \cdot |f(x) - L| < \varepsilon$  and thus  $|k \cdot f(x) - k \cdot L| < \varepsilon$ . So  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} k \cdot f(x) = k \cdot L$ .

(b) Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) = L$ , there exists a  $\delta_f > 0$  such that for all  $x$  satisfying  $0 < |x - a| < \delta_f$ , we have

$$|f(x) - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Similarly, since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow a} g(x) = M$ , there exists a  $\delta_g > 0$  such that for all  $x$  satisfying  $0 < |x - a| < \delta_g$ , we have

$$|g(x) - M| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Choose  $\delta = \min\{\delta_f, \delta_g\} > 0$ . Then, for all  $x$  satisfying  $0 < |x - a| < \delta$ , we have that

$$|(f(x) + g(x)) - (L + M)| = |f(x) - L + g(x) - M| \leq |f(x) - L| + |g(x) - M| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow a} f(x) + g(x) = L + M.$$